

Bat Predation and Sexual Advertisement in a Neotropical Anuran

Michael J. Ryan, Merlin D. Tuttle, A. Stanley Rand

American Naturalist, Volume 119, Issue 1 (Jan., 1982), 136-139.

Your use of the JSTOR database indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use. A copy of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use is available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html, by contacting JSTOR at jstor-info@umich.edu, or by calling JSTOR at (888)388-3574, (734)998-9101 or (FAX) (734)998-9113. No part of a JSTOR transmission may be copied, downloaded, stored, further transmitted, transferred, distributed, altered, or otherwise used, in any form or by any means, except: (1) one stored electronic and one paper copy of any article solely for your personal, non-commercial use, or (2) with prior written permission of JSTOR and the publisher of the article or other text.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

American Naturalist is published by University of Chicago Press. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/ucpress.html.

American Naturalist
©1982 University of Chicago Press

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2001 JSTOR

BAT PREDATION AND SEXUAL ADVERTISEMENT IN A NEOTROPICAL ANURAN

Many mating systems are characterized by conspicuous male sexual displays (Emlen and Oring 1977; Wells 1977). Predation and parasitism are thought to be important counter-selection forces in the evolution of acoustic sexual displays (Marler 1955; Moynihan 1970). However, only studies of crickets have shown that acoustically advertising males have a higher probability of attracting predators (Bell 1979; Walker 1964) or parasites (Cade 1975), and Cade (1979) showed that parasitism influences whether a male adopts a calling or a noncalling mating strategy. Recently we reported that the bat *Trachops cirrhosus* eats frogs and uses the frogs' advertisement calls for locational cues (Tuttle and Ryan 1981). Here, we investigate the role of predation in the evolution of the vocal repertoire of the frog *Physalaemus pustulosus*.

Male *P. pustulosus* produce calls of varying complexity (1 whine + 0–6 chucks; Rand and Ryan 1981) and chucks contain information about male body size (Ryan 1980). Soloing males produce the simple call (whine only) and only increase call complexity (i.e., the number of chucks) in response to other males (Rand and Ryan 1981). But females prefer calls that contain chucks (Rand and Ryan 1981). This creates a paradox: Why is it that males do not maximize their mate attraction ability by always producing calls more attractive to females? Rand and Ryan (1981) suggested that more complex calls might also be more attractive to acoustically foraging predators.

We conducted a series of experiments in a flight cage and in the field to test the hypothesis that male *P. pustulosus* that produce calls more attractive to females (i.e., more complex calls) are also more prone to predation by *T. cirrhosus*. These experiments were conducted on Barro Colorado Island, Panama, from January to May, 1980.

In the flight cage a *T. cirrhosus* was presented with a simple (whine only) and a complex (whine + 3 chucks) advertisement call. Calls were presented at a rate of one call/1.6 s. (about the normal *P. pustulosus* calling rate) and at an intensity of 75 dB SPL at 1 m from the speaker. The flight cage was 20 m² and 2.1 m high. The observer was in one corner and the bat perched in the opposite corner. One speaker was located in each of the remaining corners. At the beginning of each trial the bat was about 4 m from each speaker. The stimuli were presented only when the bat was perched in the appropriate corner, and the stimuli were discontinued as soon as the bat flew from the perch to avoid habituation of the bat to the stimuli. (In other studies, bats almost invariably landed on speakers that continued to broadcast frog calls; Tuttle and Ryan 1981.) A response was recorded if a bat flew within 1 m of a speaker. In all responses the bat flew directly toward a speaker. The bats landed on a speaker in 44% of the responses and passed within 4

TABLE 1
Summary of Distances Within which Bats Approached the Speaker for All Responses

	Landed on Speaker	Approached Within			
		0-4 inches	4-8 inches	8–12 inches	> 12 inches
Responses	14	15	11	1	3

inches of a speaker in 66% of the responses (table 1). When the bats did not land on the speaker they usually either landed on the wall and perched near the speaker or returned to the perch on which they began the trial. This is similar to the behavior of T. cirrhosus hunting at frog choruses (Ryan et al. 1981).

In the field, simple and complex advertisement calls were played at five sites of known *T. cirrhosus* activity. Calls were played simultaneously from two speakers at a rate of one call/1.6 sec. Speakers were placed 4 m apart and were observed simultaneously with a Javelin model 221 night vision scope from about 12 m. *Trachops cirrhosus* can be identified on the wing and a response was recorded if a bat passed within 1 m of a speaker. Calls were played 1.3 h each night.

Because sample sizes of trials were not equal, data were analyzed by computing the exact binomial probability of a random choice by each bat (flight cage) or on each night (field tests). The null hypothesis of no preference was tested by comparing $-2\Sigma \ln P$ to a χ^2 distribution (Sokal and Rohlf 1969).

Table 2 shows that in the flight cage bats were preferentially attracted to the complex call ($\chi^2 = 40.1, P < .005$). In the field experiments we did not know how many individual bats made passes at the speakers, but there were significantly more passes at the speaker producing the complex calls ($\chi^2 = 51.2, P < .005$, table 3).

Complex calls are of longer duration and contain more total energy and a larger range of frequencies than simple calls. Although we do not know which qualities of the complex calls made them more attractive to bats, we have demonstrated that by increasing the attractiveness of their calls to females, male *P. pustulosus* also increase predation risk from the bat *T. cirrhosus*. Previous studies have

TABLE 2

Responses of Individual. T. cirrhosus in the Flight Cage to Simple (whine only) and Complex (whine + three chucks) P. pustulosus Advertisement Calls

Bat	Complex	Simple	P
f 7	8	0	.0039
m 9		2	.3437
m 12		3	.0730
f 13	8	0	.0039
f 14	10	0	.0001

TABLE 3					
NUMBER OF PASSES MADE BY T. cirrhosus in the Field over Speakers Broadcasting Simple					
(whine only) AND COMPLEX (whine + three chucks) P. pustulosus ADVERTISEMENT CALLS					

Date	Site	Complex	Simple	Р
2/11/80	Nemesia	56	37	.0307
2/13/80	Barbour	15	8	.1050
2/14/80	Shannon	52	22	.0003
2/15/80	Standley	37	13	.0004
2/17/80	Chapman	8	1	.0195

shown that predation can increase the cost of sexual advertisement (Ryan et al. 1981) or influence when a male calls (Cade 1979). Our results show that predation can also have an important, and perhaps subtle, role in the evolution of the types of signals involved in a sexual display. These results also support the suggestion of Rand and Ryan (1981) that the complexity series of the *P. pustulosus* advertisement call has evolved to allow males to effect a compromise between maximizing mate attraction ability and minimizing predation risk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by grants from the National Geographic Society (MDT) and the National Science Foundation (DEB-79-0893, MJR) and a Smithsonian Predoctoral Fellowship to MJR. We thank Cindy Taft for field assistance and Kathy Troyer for reading the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bell, P. D. 1979. Acoustic attraction of herons by crickets. N.Y. Entomol. Soc. 87:126-127.
- Cade, W. 1975. Acoustically orienting parasitoids: fly phonotaxis to cricket songs. Science 190:1312-1313.
- . 1979. The evolution of alternative male strategies in field crickets. Pages 343–390 in M. Blum and N. Blum, eds. Sexual selection and reproductive competition in insects. Academic Press, New York.
- Emlen, S. T., and L. W. Oring. 1977. Ecology, sexual selection, and the evolution of mating systems. Science 197:215–223.
- Marler, P. 1955. Characteristics of some animal calls. Nature 176:6-8.
- Moynihan, M. 1970. Control, suppression, decay, disappearance and replacement of displays. J. Theor. Biol. 29:85-112.
- Rand, A. S., and M. J. Ryan. 1981. The adaptive significance of a complex vocal repertoire in a neotropical frog. Z. Tierpsychol. (in press).
- Ryan, M. J. 1980. Female mate choice in a neotropical frog. Science 209:523-525.
- Ryan, M. J., M. D. Tuttle, and L. K. Taft. 1981. The costs and benefits of frog chorusing behavior. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 8:273-278.
- Sokal, R. R., and F. K. Rohlf. 1969. Biometry. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Tuttle, M. D., and M. J. Ryan. 1981. Bat predation and the evolution of frog vocalizations in the neotropics. Science (in press).

Walker, T. J. 1964. Experimental demonstration of a cat locating orthopteran prey by the prey's call. Fla. Entomol. 47:163–165.

Wells, K. D. 1977. The social behaviour of anuran amphibians. Anim. Behav. 25:666-693.

MICHAEL J. RYAN

Section of Neurobiology and Behavior Langmuir Laboratory Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14850 Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute Box 2072 Balboa, Panama

MERLIN D. TUTTLE

VERTEBRATE DIVISION
MILWAUKEE PUBLIC MUSEUM
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN 53233

A. STANLEY RAND

Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute Box 2072

BALBOA, PANAMA

Submitted February 20, 1981; Accepted July 14, 1981