MicroReview

Bacterial shape

Kevin D. Young*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Dakota School of Medicine, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9037, USA.

'Architecture is the adaptation of form to resist force'. John Ruskin (1874)

Summary

In free-living eubacteria an external shell of peptidoglycan opposes internal hydrostatic pressure and prevents membrane rupture and death. At the same time, this wall imposes on each cell a shape. Because shape is both stable and heritable, as is the ability of many organisms to execute defined morphological transformations, cells must actively choose from among a large repertoire of available shapes. How they do so has been debated for decades, but recently experiment has begun to catch up with theory. Two discoveries are particularly informative. First, specific protein assemblies, nucleated by FtsZ, MreB or Mbl, appear to act as internal scaffolds that influence cell shape, perhaps by correctly localizing synthetic enzymes. Second, defects in cell shape are correlated with the presence of inappropriately placed, metabolically inert patches of peptidoglycan. When combined with what we know about mutants affecting cellular morphology, these observations suggest that bacteria may fabricate specific shapes by directing the synthesis of two kinds of cell wall: a long-lived, rigid framework that defines overall topology, and a metabolically plastic peptidoglycan whose shape is directed by internal scaffolds.

Introduction

At present, we cannot explain in comfortable detail how even one bacterium constructs itself, much less how the vast constellation of prokaryotic shapes arises. Our ignorance is broad and profound, and encompasses the most basic of questions. For example, out of the universe of

Accepted 1 May, 2003. *For correspondence. E-mail kyoung@medicine.nodak.edu; Tel. (+1) 701 777 2624; Fax (+1) 701 777 2054.

© 2003 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

possibilities, how do cells select, create and maintain a defined size, length and width? Why are the dimensions of most cells uniform instead of irregular? How do unevenly shaped cells manage to endow their descendents with reproducible irregularities? Why do some cells branch profusely and others not at all? And how do cells preserve or adjust these parameters during growth, or when they enter and exit different environments, or as they progress through a developmental cycle?

Unfortunately, especially when compared with advances in other physiological arenas, the answers to these questions have changed relatively little in over 30 years since the incisive reviews of Henning and Schwarz, who charted the broad outlines of the problems and their solutions (Henning and Schwarz, 1973; Henning, 1975). Subsequent treatments re-emphasized the problems and illuminated pieces of the puzzle (Harold, 1990; Nanninga, 1998), but despite a more thorough knowledge of peptidoglycan synthesis and bacterial cell division, our understanding of morphological control remains dim and untidy. Happily, recent discoveries are fleshing out previously vague ideas, and substantial progress is being made in identifying the molecular mechanisms responsible for building cells of diverse, but individual, shapes.

Scaffolds

In eubacteria, the load-bearing structure is peptidoglycan, an interlaced monomolecular meshwork that defines cell shape (Höltje, 1998; Nanninga, 1998). Because the chemical composition of peptidoglycan is similar among cells of diverse shapes, individual building blocks cannot explicitly define the form of the wall (Schwarz and Leutgeb, 1971; de Pedro *et al.*, 2003). But if the shape of the prokaryotic cell wall does not appear spontaneously then its form must be directed, and for over 40 years the question has been, 'By what?' As in any construction project, there are only two general ways to define the boundaries of a wall – by internal or external scaffolding.

Internal scaffolding

Consider the problem of setting the extent and uniformity of a cell's diameter. There are three ways to do this

(Fig. 1). Faithful replication of a series of hoops having defined radii could define the boundaries of the side walls in a rod-shaped organism (Fig. 1, top) or a spiral of defined pitch could accomplish the same effect (Fig. 1, middle). However, to set the diameter de novo by either of these two mechanisms would require a chemically determined self-assembly of the hoop or spiral components. If such self-directed assembly does not occur, then pre-existing structures must act as templates to dictate the diameter of the replicas. The third way to inscribe a structure of defined radius is to use a compass, a device with one stationary end attached to another that swings freely to describe the circumference of a circle. As a biological example, imagine molecular 'spokes' of defined and equal length attached to a central linear polymer (Fig. 1, bottom). If the distal ends of the spokes were embedded in the cytoplasmic membrane, osmotic pressure would extend the membrane to the limits of the spokes, creating a rod-shaped organism (Fig. 1, bottom).

Interestingly, prokaryotes seem to use all three mechanisms. The FtsZ protein is the earliest known component of a ring (hoop) required for septation (Buddelmeijer and Beckwith, 2002; Lutkenhaus, 2002). However, the diame-



Fig. 1. Models of possible shape-defining scaffolds. Each schematic of a rod-shaped bacterium contains one of three different internal structures. Cell diameter is determined by one or more hoops of defined radius (top), by a spiral of defined pitch (centre), or by an axle-and-spoke arrangement (bottom).

ter of this structure is not set by self-assembly because the Z ring contracts during cell division and incomplete arcs can initiate septation (Addinall and Lutkenhaus, 1996; Pas *et al.*, 2001; de Pedro *et al.*, 2001). Also, FtsZ polymerizes *in vitro* as straight filaments, flat sheets or tiny rings (Lutkenhaus and Addinall, 1997; Lu *et al.* 2000). Thus, the Z ring does not define an independent radius, though it may help retain or reproduce an existing diameter.

Potential spiral scaffolds are constructed by the MreB and Mbl proteins of Bacillus subtilis and the MreB homologue in Escherichia coli, which assemble on the cytoplasmic face of the inner membrane (Wachi et al., 1987; Jones et al., 2001; Carballido-Lopez and Errington, 2003). Mutations in these proteins cause bacteria to grow as abnormal spheroids or misshapen rods, indicating the proteins regulate cell shape (Abhayawardhane and Stewart, 1995; Jones et al., 2001). The diameters of these spirals are also not self-generated: the helical pitch of Mbl polymers changes with cell length and protein concentration (Carballido-Lopez and Errington, 2003), and MreB filaments created in vitro have variable curvatures that differ from the innate diameter of the bacteria from which the proteins originate (van den Ent et al., 2001). Therefore, these filaments, like the Z ring, probably assemble along the inner surface of pre-existing membrane (Carballido-Lopez and Errington, 2003). FtsZ itself may behave as a transient spiral scaffold because evanescent Z spirals appear at the end of septation, and overproduction of FtsZ-GFP produces long-lived helices (Lutkenhaus and Addinall, 1997; Sun and Margolin, 2001; Lutkenhaus, 2002).

As for the third mechanism, so far the axle-and-spoke structure has been observed in only one organism, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, which has no peptidoglycan or other external cell wall to maintain its unique shape. This microbe has no MreB-like internal scaffold (Jones *et al.*, 2001). Instead, ultrastructural analysis reveals that the rod-shaped extension of *M. pneumoniae* appears to be defined and supported by an internal 'blade-like rod' with spokes attached to a 'wheel-like complex' (Hegermann *et al.*, 2002), conceptually like that illustrated in Fig. 1 (bottom).

Osmotic pressure as internal scaffold

One often-discussed fourth alternative is that turgor pressure created by osmotic force dictates cell shape, obviating the need for any more complex machinery. The Surface Stress Theory (Koch, 1988) proposes that the cytoplasmic membrane behaves as an internal scaffold (actually, a fully formed mould), the shape of which is determined by nothing more than osmotic pressure and two stable poles of defined diameter. Thus, cell shape is just a matter of synthesizing peptidoglycan onto the outer surface of the membrane to form a rigid wall.

Although the physics of turgor pressure are important and must influence the way cells and enzymes operate (Koch, 1988; 2000), the Surface Stress Theory has three major problems in trying to explain cell shape. First, the theory assumes the existence of cellular structures (inert poles of defined diameter) whose mechanism of synthesis is not generated within the theory itself, leaving unaddressed a major determinant of cell shape. Second, the theory is at odds with the behaviour of mutants, past and present, whose shape changes dramatically without altering either the poles or internal pressure. In particular, recent appreciation of the roles of the MreB and Mbl proteins indicates that cells play a more active role in shape determination than envisioned by the original theory. Finally, and most telling, the theory does not account for the spectacular diversity of prokaryotic shapes and sizes. Although osmotic pressure must play a critical role, we must look elsewhere for the specific mechanisms by which a cell adapts its form in response to this force.

External scaffolding

In principle, shape-defining hoops or spirals could be placed just as easily outside the cytoplasmic membrane. In fact, peptidoglycan is often envisioned as arranged as a series of parallel hoops, whose precise replication determines and maintains a cell's diameter (Höltje, 1998). Unfortunately, there is no direct experimental proof for such hoops. Instead, according to current data, individual glycan polymers are so short that to encircle E. coli once would require 200-300 interconnected chains (Höltje, 1998), raising the question of how a specific diameter could be defined or maintained. Another possibility is that peptidoglycan might be roughly spiral (Mendelson, 1982), a concept which may gain new support with recent speculations about the role of Mbl helices in peptidoglycan synthesis (Carballido-Lopez and Errington, 2003). However, even if true, such helical peptidoglycan would owe its existence to an internal scaffold instead of being an independent determinant of cell shape.

Another possible external scaffold in Gram negative eubacteria is the outer membrane. In *E. coli*, certain lipoproteins link peptidoglycan to this membrane, and the strength of this association might contribute to the maintenance of cell length (Cooper, 1991). Such a relationship is difficult to prove, but mutation or inactivation of several outer membrane components does alter cell shape. For example, an *ompA/lpp* double mutant and some *lpp* mutants are spherical (Sonntag *et al.*, 1978; Hiemstra *et al.*, 1987), and overexpression of the NIpI lipoprotein induces cells to form 'prolate ellipsoids' whose poles are more pointed than normal (Ohara *et al.*, 1999). Also, degradation of the OmpA protein by neutrophils destroys the uniform shape of *E. coli*, creating cells that appear as irregularly shaped, flattened discs (Belaaouaj *et al.*, 2000). Clearly, the outer membrane or its proteins affect cell shape. However, we cannot say whether the effects arise from the disruption of an external scaffold or by interference with the activities of peptidoglycan-specific enzymes.

Among these uncertainties is one excellent example of a structure that acts as an external skeleton. *Borrelia burgdorferi*, normally shaped like a flattened spiral, loses its undulating shape and becomes a straight rod upon inactivation of the *flaB* gene, which eliminates a set of periplasmic flagella (Motaleb *et al.*, 2000). Evidently, interaction between the flagella and peptidoglycan forces an otherwise rod-shaped cell to adopt this wavy morphology (Motaleb *et al.*, 2000). Although this is the best-documented external mechanism for altering the gross morphology of a cell, the original shape and diameter of the wall is not dictated by the flagella. Therefore, the underlying shape must be derived from some other mechanism.

FtsZ and cell shape

It seems those prokaryotes we know best rely heavily on internal scaffolds to create stable, heritable shapes. However, instead of behaving as rigid skeletons, preliminary evidence suggests these structures direct the positioning of peptidoglycan-synthesizing enzymes, which in turn construct the cell's rigid external shell (Carballido-Lopez and Errington, 2003; Kroos and Maddock, 2003). We know for certain FtsZ performs this function. Bacterial division is initiated at a cell's mid-point by formation of a polymeric ring of FtsZ, onto which a cascade of proteins assembles to direct invagination of the envelope (Buddelmeijer and Beckwith, 2002). As part of this process, the penicillin-binding protein (PBP) 3 (Ftsl) redirects peptidoglycan synthesis from a diffuse incorporation throughout the cylindrical part of the wall (directed by PBP 2) to a localized incorporation at the developing septum (Höltje, 1998; Nanninga, 1998). A simple, though speculative, explanation for this cycle is that association with the Z ring activates PBP 3 so that it briefly out-competes PBP 2 for substrates or other components of the synthetic apparatus. In any case, FtsZ polymerization constitutes the specific, recurring signal that directs the location and synthesis of septal peptidoglycan.

Abundant indirect evidence supports the idea that FtsZ also affects overall cell shape. A long history of secondary observations indicates that aberrantly shaped *E. coli* are associated with treatments or mutations that interfere with cell division, DNA replication and peptidoglycan synthe-

sis. Although the variety of causation makes it difficult to discern a common mechanism, reinterpreting the results in light of what we now know suggests that altering the position or activity of FtsZ may be the unifying theme.

FtsZ

Strong evidence of a role for FtsZ comes from the serious morphological anomalies that arise in certain FtsZ mutants of E. coli. For example, at the restrictive temperature, an ftsZ2(ts) mutant grows as branched filaments, short Yforms and cells with polar protrusions, and an *ftsZ26*(ts) mutant forms Y-shaped cells or cells with malformed poles (Bi and Lutkenhaus, 1992; Addinall and Lutkenhaus, 1996). A slight increase in the amount of mutant FtsZ84 protein produces branching in 2-10% of E. coli cells (Yu and Margolin, 2000), and overproduction of another missense FtsZ mutant also leads to blebs and branching (J. Stricker, pers. comm.). Even wild-type FtsZ produces morphological effects in some instances. Overproduction of the Rhizobium meliloti FtsZ2 protein in E. coli creates curved, coiled and spiral-shaped cells (Margolin and Long, 1994), overproduction of FtsZ in R. meliloti produces a population in which nearly all cells have bulges or knobs, are Y- or T-shaped, or are multiply branched (Latch and Margolin, 1997), and constitutive FtsZ expression produces bifurcated stalks in Caulobacter crescentus (Quardokus et al., 1996). Taken together, the results imply that a common FtsZ-dependent mechanism generates shape abnormalities and branching across genera.

Septation proteins

Once FtsZ initiates the process, the rest of the septal ring assembles by successive incorporation of additional proteins (Buddelmeijer and Beckwith, 2002). Mutation of some of these also gives rise to shape defects. For example, a truncated *ftsA* gene product produces highly curved C-shaped cells, some of which form long, convoluted, corkscrew filaments (Gayda et al., 1992), and cells overproducing four different carboxy terminal deletion mutants of FtsA are similarly curved and coiled (Yim et al., 2000). Also, an FtsL mutant (another ring component) grows as Y-shaped and branched cells (Guzman et al., 1992). Interestingly, Z rings are destabilized in the absence of the early septation proteins ZipA or FtsA (Pichoff and Lutkenhaus, 2002), leaving open the possibility that the shape effects of defective septal rings may be explained by abnormal activity of FtsZ.

Min proteins and DNA replication

Accurate localization of FtsZ rings also seems important for proper cell shape. Normally, polymerization and place-

ment of FtsZ is controlled by the MinCDE proteins, which inhibit Z-ring formation at the poles, and by the positions of chromosomes, which restrict Z-ring formation to nucleoid free areas (Yu and Margolin, 1999; Lutkenhaus, 2002). Therefore, interfering with either of these two regulatory mechanisms may alter cell shape indirectly via mislocalization of complete or partial Z rings.

Numerous observations argue that these two systems play some role in cell shape. For example, in a minB (minCDE) deletion mutant, 2-8% of the cells are branched, knobbed, kinked or filamented (Åkerlund et al., 1993). Of special note is that the earliest reports of morphological alterations in E. coli are associated with treatments that inhibited DNA replication (see References in Åkerlund *et al.*, 1993). Thymine starvation of a *thy*⁻ strain produced cellular 'monsters', including short filaments with knobs, bulges, kinks and branches (Zaritsky, 1977), and mitomycin C-treated E. coli C cells exhibited knobs, bifurcations and internal bulges (Suit et al., 1967). When Åkerlund et al. (1993) altered chromosomal dynamics by driving DNA replication from an intR1 origin, swollen and irregular cells appeared with branches, knobs and kinked filaments. Similarly, inhibiting DNA synthesis in Agrobacterium tumefaciens caused every cell to elongate and branch (Fujiwara and Fukui, 1974), and 50% of mitomycin C-treated R. meliloti cells were branched (Latch and Margolin, 1997). Åkerlund et al. (1993) speculated that such misshapen cells might arise because 'aberrantly located nucleoids...interfere with the formation of a functional and properly oriented septation site' (Åkerlund et al., 1993). Consistent with this interpretation, thymine-starved mutants display asymmetric invaginations in nucleoid-free regions (Woldringh et al., 1994), and Z rings form only between nucleoids in a min mutant and in a parC mutant defective in chromosomal segregation (Yu and Margolin, 1999). An easy way to reconcile these diverse observations is to imagine that Min mutants or imprecise chromosome segregation create these morphological oddities via the same secondary effect of aberrant Z-ring localization.

Peptidoglycan synthesis

Whatever mechanism directs cell shape does so by synthesizing the rigid peptidoglycan exoskeleton. Therefore, anything altering peptidoglycan formation could conceivably affect cell shape. It is a surprise, then, that few mutations of the high molecular weight penicillin-binding proteins (HMW PBPs) yield morphologically abnormal cells. One PBP 3 mutant produces pointed poles in *E. coli* (Taschner *et al.*, 1988), and deletion of one or more HMW PBPs from *B. subtilis* produces abnormal cell shapes (Popham and Setlow, 1996; Pedersen *et al.*, 1999; McPherson and Popham, 2003). Interestingly, in the case of a *B. subtilis* mutant lacking PBP 1, FtsZ rings are localized improperly or are structurally aberrant in over 20% of the cells (Pedersen *et al.*, 1999) so that, once again, mislocalization of Z rings is correlated with shape abnormalities.

Peptidoglycan modification: the worst shapes

At this point we need to consider a class of mutants that do not yet have a demonstrated connection to FtsZ. Although the mutants described above display a wide range of morphological oddities, none exhibit the extraordinary range of shape aberrations of E. coli lacking multiple low molecular weight (LMW) PBPs (Denome et al., 1999; Nelson and Young, 2000; Nelson and Young, 2001). Individual mutants display a random assortment of cell shapes that mirror the characteristic forms of many different prokaryotes (Fig. 2). Some cells are short rods (cocco-bacilli), some are small spheres (classic cocci), some are multiply branched (actinomycetes and streptomycetes), some are highly irregular or produce bifurcated Y-forms (bifidobacteria), some are star shaped (stella), others are curved or flat on one side but cylindrical on the other (caulobacters) and some are completely unlike all of these (Fig. 2). In most cases, a cell's diameter varies dramatically along its length, at times mimicking the distinctive features of prosthecate bacteria. Overall, the shapes range from the remarkably odd to the possibly unique and illustrate the variety of shapes accessible to this single prokaryote. It is easy to imagine this diversity represents a substantial fraction of the bacterial 'shape universe' - those shapes available to any bacterium. If so, then perhaps the constellation of naturally occurring bac-



Fig. 2. Diversity of shapes in *Escherichia coli* penicillin-binding protein mutants. Photos of selected cells were compiled from several different strains lacking multiple PBPs, the important common denominator being that all lack PBP 5 (Denome *et al.*, 1999; Nelson and Young, 2000; Nelson and Young, 2001). Any one strain produces an assortment of shapes, and no one shape is peculiar to a particular mutant. Though always irregular, most of the cells in any population are more like wild-type *E. coli* than those presented here. The collage simply illustrates the variety of shapes available to these cells.

© 2003 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 49, 571-580

terial shapes arises by variations on a common morphological pathway.

Because the LMW PBPs are not essential for E. coli viability (Denome et al., 1999), why does eliminating these proteins elicit changes in bacterial morphology so much greater than in any other mutants so far observed? The enzymes neither polymerize nor cross-link peptidoglycan, but instead modify it by removing the terminal D-alanine from the ends of peptide side-chains (DD-carboxypeptidases: PBP 5, PBP 6 and DacD) or by cleaving crosslinked side-chains (endopeptidases: PBPs 4 and 7) (Höltje, 1998). Therefore, these proteins must influence cell shape by simple mechanisms: the DD-carboxypeptidases by regulating the number of pentapeptide sidechains available for cross-linking during peptidoglycan synthesis, and the endopeptidases by determining the number of intact cross-links that persist in the mature sacculus. The idea that these PBPs affect morphology via an FtsZ-dependent process is developed more fully below.

The 'inert patches' idea

The foregoing considerations make it easy to visualize how misplaced or defective Z rings create oddly shaped cell poles by inaccurate septation. What is less clear is how FtsZ might create more diverse morphological defects (blebs, internal kinks, bends, curves, T-shapes, branching, diameter inconsistencies, etc.). In the following discussion, I present the framework of an idea that brings together these disparate themes and observations. The thing to keep in mind is that cells must solve two problems: they must first establish their overall shape and then maintain it. The premise presented here is this: cells establish their gross morphology by an FtsZ-dependent mechanism that synthesizes strategically placed patches or swaths of inert (stable) peptidoglycan; and they maintain their shape by a scaffold-dependent mechanism that directs the synthesis of metabolically flexible peptidoglycan.

Inert peptidoglycan (iPG) at bacterial poles

Peptidoglycan synthesis in *E. coli* and in *B. subtilis* proceeds by diffuse intercalation of new material along the length of each cell (Merad *et al.*, 1989; Woldringh *et al.*, 1987; de Pedro *et al.*, 1997). However, peptidoglycan at the poles is stable – once septation is completed, new material is not inserted and polar peptidoglycan is either not recycled or is recycled at an extremely low rate (Clarke-Sturman *et al.*, 1989; de Pedro *et al.*, 1997). No one knows what makes the poles inert. Although the chemical composition of the poles seems to be similar to the rest of the wall, their three-dimensional structure could be different in ways we are unable to detect. Or, the polar domain may be sequestered from synthetic and hydrolytic

enzymes, an idea supported by the fact that outer membrane over the poles is as stable as is peptidoglycan (de Pedro *et al.*, 1997).

de Pedro et al. (1997) dramatically advanced the measurement and understanding of this inert material by developing a sensitive method for tracking stable peptidoglycan. In this technique, pre-existing peptidoglycan is labelled with D-cysteine and is detected in isolated sacculi with antibiotin antibody. In a series of pulse-chase experiments, de Pedro et al. (1997) expanded previous observations by establishing that the poles of E. coli are metabolically inert and segregate conservatively for at least five generations, the boundary between the pole and cylindrical wall being 'remarkably sharp' (de Pedro et al., 1997). Strikingly, rings of inert peptidoglycan were synthesized at potential septation sites in filaments of several temperature sensitive division mutants. Inert hoops appeared in strains carrying mutations affecting FtsA, FtsQ and FtsI (PBP 3), but not in an FtsZ(ts) mutant and not in cells perturbed for DNA replication (de Pedro et al., 1997). Thus, a ring of iPG may represent the earliest visible stage of septal differentiation, and FtsZ, either alone or via unknown proteins, may initiate synthesis of this uniquely situated peptidoglycan (Rothfield, 2003).

iPG and morphological defects

Recently, an important relationship was established between this inert peptidoglycan and morphological abnormalities in aberrantly shaped E. coli (de Pedro et al., 2003). As mentioned previously, cells lacking multiple LMW PBPs are extremely abnormal. When the location of iPG was measured in sacculi of one such mutant, distinct patches of inert material appeared at positions where malformed cells exhibited kinks, bends or branches. Even small bulges and irregularities were associated with long-lived segments of iPG (de Pedro et al., 2003). Two observations are especially informative. First, iPG was always associated with the original poles and with 'ectopic' poles of branched cells. Second, in several cases, iPG appeared to survive as partial rings, incomplete arcs or patchy elements in the cylindrical portion of the wall. Moreover, these relatively large-scale aggregates seemed to persist longer in mutants than in the parent strain (de Pedro et al., 2003). A similar phenomenon may occur in B. subtilis mutants lacking PBP 1 (Pedersen et al., 1999; McPherson and Popham, 2003). These mutants are thin and bent, and about one-third have abnormal septa or unusually thick, circumscribed deposits of peptidoglycan along the interior surface of their walls. The nature and stability of these deposits is unknown, but they are often associated with morphological alterations and some appear to be remnants of interrupted invagination, implying they were laid down by an aborted FtsZ-dependent process.

The strong correlation of iPG with morphological abnormalities suggests that these inert patches or swaths constitute imperfections in the wall which distort the local geometry of the sacculus. If the iPG fragments are inflexible, they will dictate the shape adopted by new peptidoglycan polymerized in their vicinity. Thus, synthesis of iPG at inappropriate positions would explain the shape anomalies in PBP mutants, and may explain the morphological deficiencies of other mutants, as well.

A shape idea

The framework: inert peptidoglycan

From the above observations, it is but a small step to propose that the regulated synthesis and localization of inert peptidoglycan determines the gross shape of normal cells. By definition, these inert fragments would be relatively impervious to normal recycling mechanisms and would behave as sturdy, long-lived braces or supports. Intracellular turgor pressure would govern the shape of recyclable peptidoglycan (rPG) located between these rigid segments, but overall cell shape would be dictated by the geometrical arrangement of inert swaths or patches.

Establishment: FtsZ localizes iPG synthesis

As discussed earlier, mutations and manipulations that alter the activity or localization of the E. coli Z ring often give rise to unusually shaped cells. The data are most easily harmonized by presuming that FtsZ plays an unspecified role in morphology. In view of the tight association between inert peptidoglycan and the morphological discontinuities in misshapen cells, the simplest interpretation is that FtsZ participates in synthesis and localization of iPG. This is probably true during normal cell division because the earliest observable step in septal development seems to be the FtsZ-dependent synthesis of a hoop of iPG (de Pedro et al., 1997). It is reasonable to suppose that if conditions allow FtsZ to polymerize elsewhere, then partial Z rings or incomplete arcs could initiate iPG synthesis at atypical positions. Should they persist, these bits of misplaced or extended iPG may develop into the ectopic poles and inflection points of aberrantly shaped cells. Morphological irregularities would arise from a combination of the frequency of FtsZ polymerization at uncharacteristic sites, the lifetimes of such polymers, and the ability of these structures to activate iPG synthesis.

It must be noted that this emphasis on the role of FtsZ in cellular morphology seems at odds with the conclusions drawn by Gullbrand *et al.* (1999). These authors observed branching at the restrictive temperature in *E. coli* mutants expressing the temperature-sensitive FtsZ84 protein and saw no consistent association of Z rings with branch points, suggesting that FtsZ plays at best a minor role in this process (Gullbrand et al., 1999). However, their results and the ideas expressed here can be reconciled if nucleation of a branch point and elaboration of a branch are separate events. In this view, transient polymerization of FtsZ84 would initiate synthesis of iPG at inappropriate sites, after which the responsible Z ring (or incomplete Z arc) would disappear to reassemble elsewhere. The extra patch of iPG may then behave as an ectopic pole and continued peptidoglycan synthesis would extend it, creating a branch. Thus, once formed, misplaced iPG would give rise to branches in the absence of active FtsZ84. Just as Gullbrand et al. (1999) conclude, aberrant septation per se would not be responsible for branching. However, incomplete or inaccurate Z-ring activity would still be the decisive factor that generates iPG, which would constitute the 'previous cell wall abnormalities' that the authors surmise lead to branching (Gullbrand et al., 1999).

Maintenance: scaffolding localizes rPG

Although iPG may determine overall cell shape by providing a framework, other internal or external scaffolds must still guide the shape of newly synthesized peptidoglycan connecting these molecular girders. Synthesis of a uni-

Bacterial shape 577

form cylindrical wall certainly depends on the activities of FtsZ, MreB, Mbl and other proteins. The dimensions of a pre-existing wall may influence the exact geometries of these internal scaffolds, which may in turn position the peptidoglycan synthetic apparatus so as to assemble a sacculus of defined shape. Agents external to the wall may act similarly or, as in the case of the flagella of *B. burgdorferi*, may impose an additional tertiary structure (Motaleb *et al.*, 2000).

Controlling iPG synthesis

How might a cell regulate the judicious arrangement of iPG to create a specific shape? Potential mechanisms could: (i) affect the supply or localization of precursors; (ii) dictate the location, rate of formation, quantity, or chemical nature of glycan chains and cross-links; or (iii) modify the location, rate or extent of peptidoglycan degradation (Fig. 3). As described above, FtsZ would drive the second mechanism. The first and third would be mediated by the LMW PBPs.

Substrate availability

The DD-carboxypeptidase activity of PBP 5 removes the



Fig. 3. Hypothetical steps in the synthesis and degradation of inert peptidoglycan in *E. coli*. Muropeptides ('Precursors') are synthesized in the cytoplasm and delivered to synthetic enzymes in the periplasm where they are polymerized and cross-linked ('Glycan composition'). Different enzymes create either recyclable or inert peptidoglycan ('Product'). It is not known whether PBP 5 uses monomer precursors or polymeric peptidoglycan (or both) as substrates, so both alternatives are illustrated ('Supply'). Although PBP 5 is the most active PBP at the steps indicated, other DD-carboxypeptidases (PBP 4, PBP 6 or DacD) may also contribute. The participation of FtsZ ('Localization and Synthesis') is hypothetical and its exact function is unknown. The removal of inert peptidoglycan ('Degradation') is hypothetical, and may be accomplished by PBP 4 (unpublished) or by other hydrolases (endopeptidases, amidases or lytic transglycosylases). Such modification of inert peptidoglycan could create a recyclable form (top product), or the modified components could be degraded and recycled to the cytoplasm (not shown). These degradative enzymes might also act earlier to remove a substrate required by the FtsZ-dependent step. NAG, *N*-acetylglucosamine; NAM, *N*-acetylmuramic acid; PBP, penicillin-binding protein; Pentapeptide, L-ala-γ-D-glu-Da-D-ala; Dap, diaminopimelic acid. Open arrows indicate activity of peptidoglycan synthetic enzymes; closed arrows indicate hypothetical activity of the indicated proteins.

© 2003 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 49, 571-580

terminal D-alanine from a percentage of pentapeptide side-chains, creating a tetrapeptide that can act only as a recipient during subsequent cross-linking (Fig. 3, 'Supply') (Höltje, 1998). Elimination of PBP 5 increases the concentration of pentapeptides and the pool of available donor side-chains, which must be critical because PBP 5 is the most influential low molecular weight PBP in terms of generating misshapen cells in multiple mutants (Nelson and Young, 2000; Nelson and Young, 2001). Remembering that deformities in such mutants correlate with an increase in iPG (de Pedro et al., 2003), these phenomena are incorporated into the hypothetical scheme of Fig. 3 by proposing that PBP 5 regulates the level of a pentapeptide substrate required for iPG synthesis. Perhaps a similar phenomenon accounts for morphological changes observed in a B. subtilis mutant impaired in isoprenoid biosynthesis, which is required for delivery of precursors for peptidoglycan and teichoic acid synthesis (Campbell and Brown, 2002).

Removing excess iPG

We may expect that some superfluous inert peptidoglycan is synthesized no matter what controls its production. An E. coli mutant with a high percentage of grossly deformed cells requires deletion of genes encoding at least three PBPs, one of which must be PBP 5 (Nelson and Young, 2001). For example, a strain lacking PBPs 4, 5 and 7 produces extraordinarily irregular cells. PBPs 4 and 7 are endopeptidases that cleave pre-existing cross-links between glycan chains of peptidoglycan. Therefore, a significant morphological effect occurs when at least two different functions are removed from the cell. If, in the absence of PBP 5, PBPs 4 and 7 cleave and remove inadvertently synthesized iPG, the effect of losing PBP 5 may be masked (Fig. 3). Eliminating this degradative pathway in a triple mutant may allow iPG fragments to persist long enough to be incorporated into the wall, creating shape deformities.

Although the above posits that PBPs 4 and 7 remove iPG, any of a number of peptidoglycan hydrolases (endopeptidases, amidases, transglycosylases) might do the same (Höltje, 1998). Of course, the scenario leaves many unanswered questions. Why don't the hydrolases remove *all* inert peptidoglycan, including that synthesized at the site of septation? Is their capacity for iPG removal limited? Might they act only on iPG below a certain size threshold? Is iPG distinguished from rPG by a certain type of cross-linking, or is iPG inert for some other reason but still susceptible to hydrolysis? Is the iPG converted to rPG, or is it degraded to monomers and recycled via the cytoplasm? Fortunately, these and other questions growing out of this proposed scheme can be addressed experimentally.

Concluding remarks

A decade ago Åkerlund *et al.* (1993) suggested that the 'underlying control circuitry and the cell division components of rod-shaped and branching bacteria may be more similar than expected'. In fact, many prokaryotes may share large portions of a common morphogenetic apparatus, suitably adapted to sustain a form selected from the universe of possible shapes. To create any desirable shape a cell need only possess an 'iPG synthesizing apparatus' and be able to control its movement and the activity of the enzymes within it. Of course, the mechanisms restricting enzyme activity to specified areas of the cell await a complete description, but the recent confluence of results should begin to answer long-held questions about bacterial shape.

Acknowledgements

I thank the members of my laboratory, past and present, who have worked so diligently to ferret out the functions of underappreciated PBPs. I also thank Margaret Larson and Rebecca Thurn for library work, Kim Young and John Lee for graphics, and Ann Flower for commenting on the manuscript. I apologize to those authors I could cite only indirectly via previous reviews. The quotation from John Ruskin is from The Columbia World of Quotations (Andrews *et al.*, 1996). This work was supported by grant GM61069 from the National Institutes of Health and by grant MCB-9982157 from the National Science Foundation.

Note added in proof

While this review was in press, Shih *et al.* reported that the MinCDE proteins also form distinct spiral structures within the eytoplasm of *E. coli* (Shih, Y.-L., Le, T., and Rothfield, L., 2003, *Proc Natl Acad Sci*, USA online publication 10.1073/ pnas.1232225100). The Min spirals differ from those formed by MrcB and arc dynamic, oscillating from pole to pole within the cell. The same considerations apply to these spirals as discussed in the text regarding the use of other proteins as internal scaffolds.

References

- Abhayawardhane, Y., and Stewart, G.C. (1995) *Bacillus subtilis* possesses a second determinant with extensive sequence similarity to the *Escherichia coli mreB* morphogene. *J Bacteriol* **177:** 765–773.
- Addinall, S.G., and Lutkenhaus, J. (1996) FtsZ-spirals and -arcs determine the shape of the invaginating septa in some mutants of *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Microbiol* 22: 231– 237.
- Åkerlund, T., Nordström, K., and Bernander, R. (1993) Branched *Escherichia coli* cells. *Mol Microbiol* **10**: 849–858.
- Andrews, R., Biggs, M., and Seidel, M. (eds) (1996) *The Columbia World of Quotations.* New York: Columbia University Press.

Belaaouaj, A., Kim, K.S., and Shapiro, S.D. (2000) Degradation of outer membrane protein A in *Escherichia coli* killing by neutrophil elastase. *Science* **289:** 1185–1188.

Bi, E., and Lutkenhaus, J. (1992) Isolation and characterization of *ftsZ* alleles that affect septal morphology. *J Bacteriol* **174:** 5414–5423.

Buddelmeijer, N., and Beckwith, J. (2002) Assembly of cell division proteins at the *E. coli* cell center. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 5: 553–557.

Campbell, T., and Brown, E. (2002) Characterization of the depletion of 2-*C*-methyl-D-erythritol-2,4-cyclodiphosphate synthase in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* **184**: 5609–5618.

Carballido-Lopez, R., and Errington, J. (2003) The bacterial cytoskeleton: in vivo dynamics of the actin-like protein Mbl of *Bacillus subtilis. Dev Cell* **4**: 19–28.

Clarke-Sturman, A.J., Archibald, A.R., Hancock, I.C., Harwood, C.R., Merad, T., and Hobot, J.A. (1989) Cell wall assembly in *Bacillus subtilis*: partial conservation of polar wall material and the effect of growth conditions on the pattern of incorporation of new material at the polar caps. *J Gen Microbiol* **135:** 657–665.

Cooper, S. (1991) Bacterial Growth and Division: Biochemistry and Regulation of Prokaryotic and Eukaryotic Division Cycles. San Diego: Academic Press, Inc.

Denome, S.A., Elf, P.K., Henderson, T.A., Nelson, D.E., and Young, K.D. (1999) *Escherichia coli* mutants lacking all possible combinations of eight penicillin binding proteins: viability, characteristics, and implications for peptidoglycan synthesis. *J Bacteriol* **181**: 3981–3993.

van den Ent, F., Amos, L.A., and Lowe, J. (2001) Prokaryotic origin of the actin cytoskeleton. *Nature* **413**: 39–44.

Fujiwara, T., and Fukui, S. (1974) Unidirectional growth and branch formation of a morphological mutant, *Agrobacte-rium tumefaciens*. J Bacteriol **120**: 583–589.

Gayda, R.C., Henk, M.C., and Leong, D. (1992) C-shaped cells caused by expression of an *ftsA* mutation in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **174:** 5362–5370.

Gullbrand, B., Åkerlund, T., and Nordström, K. (1999) On the origin of branches in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **181**: 6607–6614.

Guzman, L.-M., Barondess, J.J., and Beckwith, J. (1992) FtsL, an essential cytoplasmic membrane protein involved in cell division in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **174**: 7717– 7728.

Harold, F.M. (1990) To shape a cell: an inquiry into the causes of morphogenesis of microorganisms. *Microb Rev* **54**: 381–431.

Hegermann, J., Herrmann, R., and Mayer, F. (2002) Cytoskeletal elements in the bacterium *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Naturwissenschaften* **89:** 453–458.

Henning, U. (1975) Determination of cell shape in bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **29:** 45–60.

Henning, U., and Schwarz, U. (1973) Determinants of cell shape. In: *Bacterial Membranes and Walls*. Leive, L. (ed.) New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., pp. 413–438.

Hiemstra, H., Nanninga, N., Woldringh, C.L., Inouye, M., and Witholt, B. (1987) Distribution of newly synthesized lipoprotein over the outer membrane and the peptidoglycan sacculus of an *Escherichia coli lac-lpp* strain. *J Bacteriol* 169: 5434–5444. Höltje, J.-V. (1998) Growth of the stress-bearing and shapemaintaining murein sacculus of *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62: 181–203.

Jones, L.J., Carballido-Lopez, R., and Errington, J. (2001) Control of cell shape in bacteria: helical, actin-like filaments in *Bacillus subtilis. Cell* **104:** 913–922.

Koch, A.L. (1988) Biophysics of bacterial wall viewed as a stress-bearing fabric. *Microb Rev* **52:** 337–353.

Koch, A.L. (2000) Simulation of the conformation of the murein fabric: the oligoglycan, penta-muropeptide, and crosslinked nona-muropeptide. *Arch Microbiol* **174:** 429–439.

Kroos, L., and Maddock, J.R. (2003) Prokaryotic development: emerging insights. *J Bacteriol* **185:** 1128–1146.

Latch, J.N., and Margolin, W. (1997) Generation of buds, swellings, and branches instead of filaments after blocking the cell cycle of *Rhizobium meliloti*. J Bacteriol **179**: 2373– 2381.

Lu, C., Reedy, M., and Erickson, H.P. (2000) Straight and curved conformations of FtsZ are regulated by GTP hydrolysis. *J Bacteriol* **182:** 164–170.

Lutkenhaus, J. (2002) Dynamic proteins in bacteria. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **5:** 548–552.

Lutkenhaus, J., and Addinall, S.G. (1997) Bacterial cell division and the Z ring. *Annu Rev Biochem* **66**: 93–116.

Margolin, W., and Long, S.R. (1994) Rhizobium meliloti *contains a novel second homolog of the cell division gene* Ftsz. *J Bacteriol* **176:** 2033–2043.

McPherson, D.C., and Popham, D.L. (2003) Peptidoglycan synthesis in the absence of class A penicillin-binding proteins in *Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol* **185**: 1423–1431.

Mendelson, N.H. (1982) Bacterial growth and division: genes, structures, forces, and clocks. *Microb Rev* **46**: 341–375.

Merad, T., Archibald, A.R., Hancock, I.C., Harwood, C.R., and Hobot, J.A. (1989) Cell wall assembly in *Bacillus subtilis*: visualization of old and new wall material by electron microscopic examination of samples stained selectively for teichoic acid and teichuronic acid. *J Gen Microbiol* **135**: 645–655.

Motaleb, M.A., Corum, L., Bono, J.L., Elias, A.F., Rosa, P., Samuels, D.S., and Charon, N.W. (2000) *Borrelia burgdorferi* periplasmic flagella have both skeletal and motility functions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97:** 10899–10904.

Nanninga, N. (1998) Morphogenesis of *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **62**: 110–129.

Nelson, D.E., and Young, K.D. (2000) Penicillin binding protein 5 affects cell diameter, contour, and morphology of *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **182**: 1714–1721.

Nelson, D.E., and Young, K.D. (2001) Contributions of PBP 5 and DD-carboxypeptidase penicillin binding proteins to maintenance of cell shape in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* 183: 3055–3064.

Ohara, M., Wu, H.C., Sankaran, K., and Rick, P.D. (1999) Identification and characterization of a new lipoprotein, NIpI, in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J Bacteriol* **181**: 4318–4325.

Pas, E., Einav, M., Woldringh, C.L., and Zaritsky, A. (2001) Perpendicular planes of FtsZ arcs in spheroidal *Escherichia coli* cells. *Biochimie* 83: 121–124.

Pedersen, L.B., Angert, E.R., and Setlow, P. (1999) Septal localization of penicillin-binding protein 1 in *Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol* **181:** 3201–3211.

de Pedro, M.A., Quintela, J.C., Höltje, J.-V., and Schwarz, H.

© 2003 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 49, 571-580

(1997) Murein segregation in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **179:** 2823–2834.

- de Pedro, M.A., Donachie, W.D., Holtje, J.V., and Schwarz, H. (2001) Constitutive septal murein synthesis in *Escherichia coli* with impaired activity of the morphogenetic proteins RodA and penicillin-binding protein 2. *J Bacteriol* 183: 4115–4126.
- de Pedro, M.A., Young, K.D., Höltje, J.-V., and Schwarz, H. (2003) Branching of *Escherichia coli* cells arises from multiple sites of inert peptidoglycan. *J Bacteriol* **185**: 1147–1152.
- Pichoff, S., and Lutkenhaus, J. (2002) Unique and overlapping roles for ZipA and FtsA in septal ring assembly in *Escherichia coli. EMBO J* **21**: 685–693.
- Popham, D.L., and Setlow, P. (1996) Phenotypes of *Bacillus* subtilis mutants lacking multiple class A high-molecular-weight penicillin-binding proteins. *J Bacteriol* **178**: 2079–2085.
- Quardokus, E., Din, N., and Brun, Y.V. (1996) Cell cycle regulation and cell type-specific localization of the FtsZ division initiation protein in *Caulobacter. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93:** 6314–6319.
- Rothfield, L. (2003) New insights into the developmental history of the bacterial cell division site. *J Bacteriol* **185**: 1125–1127.
- Schwarz, U., and Leutgeb, W. (1971) Morphogenetic aspects of murein structure and biosynthesis. *J Bacteriol* **106:** 588–595.
- Sonntag, I., Schwarz, H., Hirota, Y., and Henning, U. (1978) Cell envelope and shape of *Escherichia coli*: multiple mutants missing the outer membrane lipoprotein and other major outer membrane proteins. *J Bacteriol* **136**: 280–285.
- Suit, J.C., Barbee, T., and Jetton, S. (1967) Morphological changes in *Escherichia coli* strain C produced by treatments affecting deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis. *J Gen Microbiol* **49:** 165–173.

- Sun, Q., and Margolin, W. (2001) Influence of the nucleoid on placement of FtsZ and MinE rings in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 1413–1422.
- Taschner, P.E., Ypenburg, N., Spratt, B.G., and Woldringh, C.L. (1988) An amino acid substitution in penicillin-binding protein 3 creates pointed polar caps in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **170**: 4828–4837.
- Wachi, M., Doi, M., Tamaki, S., Park, W., Nakajima-Iijima, S., and Matsuhashi, M. (1987) Mutant isolation and molecular cloning of *mre* genes, which determine cell shape, sensitivity to mecillinam, and amount of penicillin-binding proteins in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **169**: 4935–4940.
- Woldringh, C., Huls, P., Pas, E., Brakenhoff, G.J., and Nanninga, N. (1987) Topography of peptidoglycan synthesis during elongation and polar cap formation in a cell division mutant of *Escherichia coli* MC4100. *J Gen Microbiol* **133**: 575–586.
- Woldringh, C.L., Zaritsky, A., and Grover, N.B. (1994) Nucleoid partitioning and the division plane in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **176**: 6030–6038.
- Yim, L., Vandenbussche, G., Mingorance, J., Rueda, S., Casanova, M., Ruysschaert, J.M., and Vicente, M. (2000) Role of the carboxy terminus of *Escherichia coli* FtsA in self interaction and cell division. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 6366– 6373.
- Yu, X.C., and Margolin, W. (1999) FtsZ ring clusters in *min* and partition mutants: role of both the Min system and the nucleoid in regulating FtsZ ring localization. *Mol Microbiol* **32:** 315–326.
- Yu, X.C., and Margolin, W. (2000) Deletion of the min operon results in increased thermosensitivity of an *ftsZ84* mutant and abnormal FtsZ ring assembly, placement, and disassembly. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 6203–6213.
- Zaritsky, A. (1977) Branching of fast-growing *Escherichia coli* 15T⁻ at low thymine concentrations. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **2:** 65–69.