Origins of Flagellar Gene Operons and Secondary Flagellar Systems†‡
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Forty-one flagellated species representing 11 bacterial phyla were used to investigate the origin of secondary flagellar systems and the structure and formation of flagellar gene operons over the course of bacterial evolution. Secondary (i.e., lateral) flagellar systems, which are harbored by five of the proteobacterial species considered, originated twice, once in the alphaproteobacterial lineage and again in the common ancestor of the Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria. The order and organization of flagellar genes have undergone extensive shuffling and rearrangement among lineages, and based on the phylogenetic distributions of flagellar gene complexes, the flagellar gene operons existed as small, usually two-gene units in the ancestor of Bacteria and have expanded through the recruitment of new genes and fusion of gene units. In contrast to the evolutionary trend towards larger flagellar gene complexes, operon structures have been highly disrupted through gene disassociation and rearrangements in the Epsilon- and Alphaproteobacteria. These results demonstrate that the genetic basis of this ancient and structurally conserved organelle has been subject to many lineage-specific modifications.

Bacterial flagella are complex organelles whose assembly is dependent on multiple cooperating components. In the well-studied systems, those of Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, approximately 50 genes, distributed in at least 10 operons, contribute to the formation, regulation, and function of the flagella (19, 20). About one-half of these genes produce proteins that become part of the physical structure of flagella, whereas other genes have auxiliary or regulatory roles.

Although the basic structure of the flagellum is fairly well conserved across bacteria, lineages can vary with respect to the number of flagella per cell and the location of flagella on the cell surface, as well as to the overall number of genes devoted to the synthesis and regulation of flagella (3, 29). Among the more notable differences is the difference between the spirochetes, which possess periplasmic flagella whose filaments reside between the outer and cytoplasmic membranes, and other species whose filaments are situated outside the cells (8). In some bacteria, such as Vibrio parahaemolyticus, there are two flagellar systems (a polar system and a lateral system), which are encoded by distinct sets of genes and are responsible for different types of motility (22).

The structure, assembly, and function of flagella have been characterized in some detail by molecular, genetic, and biochemical analyses (1, 4, 19, 20, 24, 36); in contrast, relatively little is known about the evolutionary origins of the genes or gene clusters that specify these complex and diverse organelles (25). There is extensive similarity between flagellar genes and genes dedicated to protein secretion systems, leading to speculation that the flagellum arose from a primitive secretion system that was later adapted to cell motility (5, 6, 26, 27). In addition, some of the flagellar proteins resemble those of other systems—the flagellar motor protein MotB is related to the OmpA family of outer membrane proteins, Flii is related to the β-subunit of ATP synthase, FliA is related to RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor, and FliY is related to various ABC transporters—but in all cases the corresponding proteins are very highly diverged, making their ancestries somewhat difficult to reconstruct (28, 34).

The analysis of complete genomic sequences of flagellated bacteria in several distantly related phyla offers an opportunity to trace the history of each flagellar protein and flagellar gene complex through comparative and phylogenetic analyses. In a companion paper (17), we focused on an ancestral core set of genes—the genes whose products constitute much of the physical structure of the flagellum—that evolved through successive duplications of one or a few genes. In this paper, we turn our attention to the origins of the secondary flagellar systems that have been identified in several bacterial genomes and the formation, disruption, and transfer of flagellar gene operons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Retrieving flagellar gene orthologs. The methods used to identify the homologs of each flagellar gene and protein are those described by us previously (17). In brief, orthologs and paralogs of flagellar proteins were identified in the genomes of 41 flagellated species by BLAST and PSI-BLAST searches (2) using the flagellar proteins of E. coli and of Bacillus subtilis as queries. For flagellar genes not present in E. coli (flaK, flaM, flaL, flaF, and flaG), the protein sequences in V. parahaemolyticus were used as queries. Orthology was confirmed by gene context information; high-scoring matches were considered orthologous if they had any other flagellar gene as a neighbor. Phylogenetic trees were built by using Muscle (10), Gblocks (7), and PhyML (12).

Construction of gene order phylogeny. The pairwise distance in gene order between two flagellar systems was calculated as one minus the ratio of the number of shared gene neighbors to the total number of gene neighbors. If a flagellar gene had a novel neighbor (i.e., a nonflagellar gene or a gene not included in the set of flagellar genes analyzed), it was counted as having a “gap” as its neighbor. Cases in which duplicated genes in a single flagellar system had
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the same gene neighbors were counted only once. Neighbor-joining and unweighted-pair group method using average linkage trees were constructed from a gene order distance matrix using MEGA (15). The organizations of the flagellar genes in the 41 species considered are presented in Fig. S1A to D in the supplemental material.

**Formation of flagellar gene operons.** To examine the origin and changes in flagellar gene operons, the constituent genes of 10 flagellar multigene operons in *E. coli* were retrieved from RegulonDB (35). For each operon, the gene order and transcription direction were examined in the 40 other flagellated species to trace how the operon formed or was disrupted in each lineage. When only genes within an operon were in the same gene order as they were in *E. coli* they considered part of the same operon. Based on operon structure, bacterial species were classified into groups. Although bacterial species in the same group have very similar operon organizations, there were some minor differences due to small-scale insertions or rearrangements. In cases where there were differences in gene order within a bacterial group, the species whose operon structure was most similar to that of *E. coli* was chosen to represent its group.

To quantify the integrity of operon structure in each group, we devised an operon clustering index (OCI), which is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{OCI} = 10 \times \frac{1 - \frac{\sum n_i}{N_i}}{N_i}
\]

where \(n_i\) is the number of actual separation points between neighbor genes and \(N_i\) is the number of potential separation points in operon \(i\). For example, because the *flgBCDEFGHI* operon in *Deltaproteobacteria* is separated into four units, *flgBC*, *flgDE*, *flgFG*, and *flgHI*, the values for determining the OCI are \(n_i = 3\) and \(N_i = 8\).

**RESULTS**

**Origin of secondary flagellar systems.** Five of the genomes that we considered encode two flagellar systems (Fig. 1). The two systems are encoded by physically nonoverlapping gene clusters, except that a probable translocation interspersed between the two systems in *Chromobacterium violaceum* (see Fig. S1B in the supplemental material). In each of these genomes, there were typically two homologs of the majority of the *E. coli* flagellar gene queries. The polar system, which is analogous to the primary flagellar system in bacteria possessing only one flagellar gene queries. The three internal genes, *fliEFGHI*, clusters, together with *fliJ*, *fliK*, *fliL*, *fliM*, *fliO*, *fliP*, and *fliQ*, form the large gene cluster that is separated into four units, *flgBCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQR* and the secondary flagellar system of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* was lost in the secondary systems of *B. japonicum*: *flgA* is adjacent to *flgH* in the primary system, whereas it is adjacent to *flgI* in the secondary system (see Fig. S1B in the supplemental material).

**Evolution of flagellar gene organization.** Although flagellar genes typically reside in several gene clusters in bacterial genomes, the organization of these gene clusters, as well as the contents and numbers of gene clusters, differ greatly among bacterial lineages. A phylogenetic tree based on gene order distance captures these differences and shows that flagellar systems cluster into three broad groups (Fig. 2). Group 1 contains the primary flagellar systems of several *Alphaproteobacteria* and the secondary flagellar system of *B. japonicum*. These flagellar systems are very distinct from those of other groups in that many typical pairs of neighboring genes, such as *motAB*, *fliAB*, *fliQR*, *flgDE*, *flgFG*, and *flgHI*, are not adjacent. These systems also possess some unique gene neighbors, such as *flING-flhB*, *flIM-motA*, *flgA-flgI*, and *flgD-flfQ*, that are not observed in any of the other systems in the other two groups (see Fig. S1B in the supplemental material).

Group 2 consists of the primary and secondary flagellar systems in *Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria* and the flagellar system in *Zymomonas mobilis*, which originated from lateral gene transfer from a gammaproteobacterial lineage (17). The characteristic gene organization of these flagellar systems consists of three gene clusters, *flgBCDEFGHIJKLMN*, *fliEFHGHI*, and *flIMNOPQR*. As mentioned previously, the latter two gene clusters, together with *fliJ*, *fliK*, and *fliL*, form the large gene cluster *flgBCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQR* observed in the primary systems in this group, but *fliO* was lost in the secondary systems (see Fig. S1B and S1C in the supplemental material). Group 3 is also distinct in that some gene neighbors, such as the association of *fliK* with *flgD* and the association of *flgA* with *flgG* and *flgH*, are unique to this group (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

**Formation and disruption of flagellar operons.** Operons facilitate the coordinated expression of functionally related genes by organizing multiple genes into a single transcription
To investigate how the flagellar gene operons originated and evolved, we started with 10 multigene operons of *E. coli* listed in RegulonDB (35). Examining the occurrence and distribution of the member genes from each operon within the major lineages of flagellated bacterial enabled us to identify the major events in the formation and disruption of gene clustering (Fig. 3).

The majority of the flagellar genes in the most ancient bacterial lineages, *Firmicutes* and *Spirochaetes*, are organized in two-gene units, suggesting that the ancestor contained many dispersed flagellar gene operons. Whereas several small operons, such as *flgKL* and *motAB*, are conserved in almost all bacterial lineages, there is a trend in the *Beta*- and *Gamma*-proteobacteria towards increasing operon size by adding novel genes or combining small gene units. For example, the *flgBCDEFGHIJ* operon was formed by merging several small operons (Fig. 3).

In contrast, both *Alpha* - and *Epsilon*-proteobacteria show extensive disruption of operon structures, but for different reasons. In the *Epsilon*-proteobacteria, flagellar gene operons became disrupted by the relocation of individual proteins. Whereas several small operons, such as *flgKL* and *motAB*, are conserved in almost all bacterial lineages, there is a trend in the *Beta* - and *Gamma*-proteobacteria towards increasing operon size by adding novel genes or combining small gene units. For example, the *flgBCDEFGHIJ* operon was formed by merging several small operons (Fig. 3).

**FIG. 1.** Phylogenetic trees comparing branching orders of flagellated bacteria based on universally conserved proteins and flagellar proteins. (A) Species tree based on a concatenated alignment of 25 single-copy proteins selected from the proteins identified by Ciccarelli et al. (9). (B) Phylogenetic tree based on a concatenated alignment of 14 flagellar proteins that are present in all primary and secondary flagellar systems considered. “1” and “2” after species names indicate primary and secondary systems, respectively. The secondary flagellar systems are red. Alpha I, *Alphaproteobacteria* group I; Alpha II, *Alphaproteobacteria* group II.
member genes, whereas in the *Alphaproteobacteria*, the operons are disrupted by large-scale rearrangements, which kept most flagellar genes in tight clusters.

We quantified the variation of the integrity of operon structure in each bacterial group with an OCI (Fig. 3). With this index, the ancestral state, which has mostly two-gene units, has an OCI of about 7, whereas the primary systems of enteric bacteria have an OCI of 10. The flagellar systems show various degrees of disruption, with the OCI ranging from 1.6 in the highly disrupted systems in *Alphaproteobacteria* group II to 9.6 in the nearly intact systems in *Beta* and *Gammaproteobacteria*.

**DISCUSSION**

To understand how the genes specifying the bacterial flagellar system evolved and diversified, we previously analyzed the origins of the set of structural genes that are ancestral to all flagellated bacteria (17). In this study, we focused on the origins of the secondary flagellar systems, which are present in several proteobacteria, as well as the formation and evolution of flagellar gene operons. Despite the early origin and stable inheritance of the core structural genes, flagellar gene complexes are subject to extensive changes, promoted by both the gain and loss of individual genes (and even entire flagellar systems), and the formation and disruption of operon structures.

The primary and secondary flagellar systems within a cell can specify different functions (swimming or swarming), display different expression patterns (constitutational or conditional), and employ different motive forces (sodium or proton) (3, 14). But because the two systems, when present in the same genome, have very similar sets of structural genes, these functional differences are largely due to changes in the regulation cascade of the systems (24, 33). Our analyses revealed that secondary systems originated twice from the duplication or horizontal transfer of primary systems. The secondary systems present in both the *Beta* and *Gammaproteobacteria* appear to have originated from a duplication of the entire flagellar gene complex in the nonenteric gammaproteobacterial lineage, which was then transferred independently to the *Betapro-
teobacteria and to an ancestral lineage of enteric bacteria. Whereas both the primary and secondary systems have been sporadically maintained, this scenario suggests that the secondary system was subsequently lost from most genomes.

Consistent with this history of an ancient duplication and horizontal transfer and subsequent deletion of a flagellar gene complex are the findings that there are two flagellar systems and that remnants of the secondary system occur sporadically among strains of *E. coli* (33). This secondary system is present in *E. coli* 042 but was deleted from *E. coli* K-12, which still contains remnant copies of two boundary genes (*fhiA* and *mbhB*, which are homologs of *flhA* and *motB*, respectively).

Because the secondary system of *E. coli* 042 clusters with those of the four Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria that we investigated (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material) and is closest to that of *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, the secondary system in *E. coli* seems to have originated early in the enteric bacterial lineage and not by recent transfer events.

The primary flagellar system in *B. japonicum* is closely related to the only flagellar system in Alphaproteobacteria group I, whereas its secondary system is closely related to the flagellar system in Alphaproteobacteria group II (Fig. 1). Therefore, it is unlikely that this secondary system originated from an intragenomic duplication. The most likely scenario is that this

FIG. 3. Formation and disruption of flagellar operons in *Bacteria*. To enable comparisons across groups, genes are positioned according to their order in *E. coli*, with the result that the locations and transcription directions of genes that are not neighbors might not reflect their actual locations or directions in the corresponding genomes. The phylogenetic tree on the left is derived from the flagellar protein tree in Fig. 1. The numbers at the nodes are the OCI for the corresponding groups, calculated as described in Materials and Methods. Members of the core set of genes encoding flagellar proteins, as identified by us previously (17), are enclosed in boxes in the *Firmicutes*. 

---

**Caption**

Addition of *flgA*, Addition of *fliH* and *fliL* to form *fliHJ*.

Consistent with this history of an ancient duplication and horizontal transfer and subsequent deletion of a flagellar gene complex are the findings that there are two flagellar systems and that remnants of the secondary system occur sporadically among strains of *E. coli* (33). This secondary system is present in *E. coli* 042 but was deleted from *E. coli* K-12, which still contains remnant copies of two boundary genes (*fhiA* and *mbhB*, which are homologs of *flhA* and *motB*, respectively). Because the secondary system of *E. coli* 042 clusters with those of the four Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria that we investigated (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material) and is closest to that of *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, the secondary system in *E. coli* seems to have originated early in the enteric bacterial lineage and not by recent transfer events.

The primary flagellar system in *B. japonicum* is closely related to the only flagellar system in Alphaproteobacteria group I, whereas its secondary system is closely related to the flagellar system in Alphaproteobacteria group II (Fig. 1). Therefore, it is unlikely that this secondary system originated from an intragenomic duplication. The most likely scenario is that this
secondary system resulted from horizontal transfer from a species closely related to Alphaproteobacteria group II, but it is possible that the ancestor of all Alphaproteobacteria possessed a second flagellar system, like that in B. japonicum, and that one system was subsequently lost in both Alphaproteobacteria groups I and II. A recent study has shown that the alphaproteobacterium Rhodobacter sphaeroides contains two flagellar systems, which encode polar flagella (30). One of these systems is ancestral to Alphaproteobacteria, whereas the other is homologous to the primary system in Z. mobilis, which was shown previously to have originated by lateral gene transfer from Gammaproteobacteria (17).

Our results for the formation and disruption of flagellar operons are consistent with those of whole-genome analyses (13), which showed that operons and gene order are typically conserved among closely related organisms and that the destruction of operons may be selectively neutral. Our analyses revealed that the flagellar operons are most extensively disrupted in Epsilon- and Alphaproteobacteria, but whether other operons also show the most extensive disruption in these two lineages remains to be investigated. Because the flagellar gene operons of E. coli have been subject to extensive experimental verification, we performed this analysis using the operon structures of E. coli as our frame of reference. It is possible that in some organisms, the flagellar genes form operons containing member genes different from those in E. coli K-12 and that these flagellar operons are not as disassociated as they appear. However, based on their phylogenetic relationships, E. coli and the Gammaproteobacteria in general are the most recently derived organisms and also have the most clustered flagellar gene complexes, indicating a broad evolutionary trend toward increasing cluster size through both the inclusion of new genes and the fusion of existing operons.

Different models have been proposed to explain the driving forces and mechanisms in the formation and maintenance of bacterial operons. Under the “selfish operons” hypothesis (16), lateral gene transfer promotes the formation of operons because clustered genes have a better chance of being transferred together and functional in the new host. Whereas there are certainly instances of lateral gene transfer of flagellar systems, coamplification may also be a mechanism underlying the creation of flagellar operons. According to this model, genes in proximity to one another are more likely to be coamplified, and thus, the tandem duplication of small gene clusters can create new gene junctions and generate new regulatory schemes (32). As suggested by a recent study, coregulation seems to be a major driving force in operon formation and maintenance (31), in that the products of adjacent flagellar genes often form protein complexes (e.g., fliBC, fliKL, fliBA, fliMN, and fliPQR), and operons serve to regulate both the timing and the amounts of the interacting proteins.

Our data also confirm the rapid changes in flagellar gene regulators reported in previous studies (24, 36). Although details of flagellar gene regulation have not been fully elucidated, it is clear that species have widely different regulation networks, as shown by the variation in the numbers and types of the regulators harbored by different genomes. For example, the master regulators, which control the flagellar gene regulation cascade, differ among groups of bacteria. The master regulators in E. coli, FlhC and FlhD, are present only in enteric bacteria, and the master regulator FlaK in V. parahaemolyticus appears to be limited to nonenteric Gammaproteobacteria. Such diversity is consistent with the general pattern uncovered by genome-wide analyses: transcription factors evolve much more quickly than their target genes, and bacterial regulatory networks are extremely flexible (18, 21). Many of the genes with auxiliary roles in flagellar systems, such as the chaperone genes fliJ, fliS, flgN, and figI, have sporadic distributions or are lineage specific, although other genes, such as the chemotaxis genes, are shared by Bacteria and Archaea (11). Taken together, bacterial flagellar systems have been formed with genes having very different histories and have originated and evolved under a combination of different evolutionary forces, including duplication, gene loss, and lateral gene transfer.
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