The Evolution of Insertion Sequences Within Enteric Bacteria

Jeffrey G. Lawrence,¹ Howard Ochman and Daniel L. Hartl²

Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110 Manuscript received October 10, 1991 Accepted for publication January 8, 1992

ABSTRACT

To identify mechanisms that influence the evolution of bacterial transposons, DNA sequence variation was evaluated among homologs of insertion sequences IS1, IS3 and IS30 from natural strains of Escherichia coli and related enteric bacteria. The nucleotide sequences within each class of IS were highly conserved among E. coli strains, over 99.7% similar to a consensus sequence. When compared to the range of nucleotide divergence among chromosomal genes, these data indicate high turnover and rapid movement of the transposons among clonal lineages of E. coli. In addition, length polymorphism among IS appears to be far less frequent than in eukaryotic transposons, indicating that nonfunctional elements comprise a smaller fraction of bacterial transposon populations than found in eukaryotes. IS present in other species of enteric bacteria are substantially divergent from E. coli elements, indicating that IS are mobilized among bacterial species at a reduced rate. However, homologs of IS1 and IS3 from diverse species provide evidence that recombination events and horizontal transfer of IS among species have both played major roles in the evolution of these elements. IS3 elements from E. coli and Shigella show multiple, nested, intragenic recombinations with a distantly related transposon, and IS1 homologs from diverse taxa reveal a mosaic structure indicative of multiple recombination and horizontal transfer events.

NSERTION sequences (IS) are short segments of DNA, typically 1–2 kilobasepairs (kb) in length, with the ability to translocate within and among replicons (GALAS and CHANDLER 1989). IS mediate numerous molecular and genetic phenomena, including gene activation (GLANDSDORFF, CHARLIER and ZAFA-RULLA 1980), repression (SAEDLER et al. 1974), deletion (CHOW and BROKER 1981), rearrangement (SAE-DLER et al. 1980), recombination (LIAB 1980), and transfer (CHANDLER, CLERGET and CARO 1980), and are also of epidemiological importance, owing to their ability to form composite transposons and mobilize antibiotic resistance determinants (BERG 1977; KLECKNER et al. 1975). Although numerous classes of IS have been characterized from the genomes of enteric bacteria, factors that contribute to the evolution of IS within and among bacterial species have not been clearly defined. Elucidating the evolutionary forces acting upon insertion elements is necessary for understanding the genetics of mobile genetic elements in prokaryotes, the impact of IS-mediated events, and the evolution and epidemiology of composite transposons.

Bacterial reproduction confers a clonal population structure if gene exchange is infrequent. Although recent studies have shown that recombination does occur at chromosomal loci among natural isolates of

Genetics 131: 9-20 (May, 1992)

E. coli (DUBOSE, DYKHUIZEN and HARTL 1988; STOLTZFUS, LESLIE and MILKMAN 1988), linkage disequilibrium among enzyme electrophoretic types has indicated that large scale genetic exchange of metabolic genes among natural strains of E. coli is not common (Selander and Levin 1980; Caugant, LEVIN and SELANDER 1981; OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984a; WHITTAM, OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984). However, the contribution of genetic exchange to the evolution of transposable elements within E. coli has not been well characterized. Not only are IS present in variable numbers and positions within bacterial genomes (SAWYER et al. 1987; LAWRENCE et al. 1989), but a substantial portion of the E. coli transposon pool is plasmid borne (SAWYER et al. 1987; HALL et al. 1989), which increases opportunies for genetic transfer.

SAWYER et al. (1987) examined the distribution and abundance of IS1, IS2, IS3, IS4, IS5 and IS30, and HALL et al. (1989) the distribution of IS103, in natural isolates of E. coli, chosen to represent the range of phenotypic variation detected by protein electrophoresis. They determined that IS could be classified into three groups based on the apparent strength of regulation of transposition: IS1 and IS5 represented weakly regulated transposons; IS2, IS4, IS30 and IS103 represented moderately regulated transposons; and IS3 represented a class of strongly regulated transposons (see also HARTL and SAWYER 1988a,b). Although these analyses suggest different patterns of

¹ Current address: Department of Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112. To whom correspondence should be addressed.

regulation, they did not establish which factors govern the evolution of insertion sequences. In addition, the degree to which these factors differentially influence the evolution of each class of transposons is not known.

To address these issues, isoforms of three insertion sequences, IS1, IS3 and IS30, were studied from isolates of the ECOR reference collection of E. coli (OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984b). In addition, IS homologs from related species of enteric bacteria were isolated and analyzed. Nucleotide variation among classes of IS was examined to elucidate the mechanisms influencing the evolution of IS within E. coli, to evaluate the extent to which genetic exchange contributes to the evolution of transposon populations with E. coli, to determine if similar processes influence the evolution of IS among bacterial species, to assess the relative proportions of functional and non-nonfunctional elements, to identify evolutionarily conserved reading frames and sequence motifs, and to ascertain whether patterns of evolution differ among distinct classes of insertion sequences. In this manner, we may assess the evolutionary influences which differ between bacterial IS and metabolic genes, as well as those which differ between prokaryotic and eukaryotic transposons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains: Strains of the ECOR collection (OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984b), ATCC 35320-35391, were obtained from laboratory collections. The phenotypic (SELANDER, CAUGANT and WHITTAM 1987) and insertion sequence (SAWYER et al. 1987) profiles of these strains have been described. Escherichia fergusonii ATCC 35469 and ATCC 35471, Escherichia hermannii ATCC 33652, Escherichia vulneris ATCC 29943, Shigella dysenteriae ATCC 13313, Shigella flexneri ATCC 29508, Shigella sonnei ATCC 29930 and Serratia odorifera ATCC 3307 were obtained from laboratory stocks. Relationships among these taxa inferred from chromosomal gene sequences have been described (LAWRENCE, OCHMAN and HARTL 1991).

Southern blotting: Chromosomal DNA was isolated, digested with restriction endonucleases, size fractionated on agarose gels and transferred to nylon membranes as described previously (SAWYER et al. 1987). Probes were prepared as internal portions of IS amplified via the polymerase chain reaction (PCR; SAIKI et al. 1985, 1988). Primers utilized for amplification annealed internal to the inverted repeats: IS1 forward: GATTTAGTGTATGATGG; IS1 reverse: GATAGTGTTTTATGTTC; IS3 forward: GGA-CACGCGGCTAAGTG; IS3 reverse: TGGACACAGGC-CTAAGCG; IS30 forward: GCAACAGTTATGTGAAA; IS30 reverse: AATGCAACACCCCTTTC. Amplification products were purified by the method of LAWRENCE, HARTL and OCHMAN (1991a), and labeled to high specific activity by the method of FEINBERG and VOGELSTEIN (1983). Membranes were hybridized under moderate stringency conditions as described (SAWYER et al. 1987).

DNA sequencing: Internal segments of each IS were amplified by the PCR utilizing either genomic DNA, for strains containing a single copy of any one IS, or DNA fragments, size fractionated by gel electrophoresis and iden-

TABLE 1

Single nucleotide differences within IS1 copies from E. coli

	Strain ^e											
Position	K12	5	28	48	12	32	33	51	52	53	66	60
393	Α	С	-	-	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
396	С	Т	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
418	С	-	-	-	-	-		-	Α	-	-	-
486	С	-		-	-	-	-	G	-	-	-	-

^a K12, E. coli K12 (OHTSUBO and OHTSUBO 1978); numbers refer to ECOR strains (OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984b). Strains 5, 28, and 48 are isoforms of ISIR; the remaining strains are isoforms of ISIF. Positions which distinguish ISIF from ISIR were not included (see text). The dash indicates a nucleotide identical to that in K12.

tified by Southern blotting, as a template. The oligonucleotide primers described above allowed amplification of a 729-bp fragment comprising 95% of IS1, a 1220-bp fragment encompassing 97% of IS3, and an 1185-bp fragment comprising 97% of IS30. Amplification products were purified and sequenced according to the methods of DUBOSE and HARTL (1990) and LAWRENCE, HARTL and OCHMAN (1991a). For copies of IS3 and IS30, the nucleotide sequence of the entire region between the amplification primers was determined. For IS1 resident in E. coli, nucleotide sequences downstream of position 400 were determined. In all cases, the nucleotide sequences of both strands of each IS were determined. For genomes containing elements that could not be amplified by the PCR, chromosomal DNA was partially digested with Sau3A, ligated to EMBL3 digested with BamHI, and packaged in Gigapack (Stratagene). Bacteriophage DNA was prepared from appropriate clones by the method of HELMS et al. (1985), partially digested with Sau3A, ligated into M13 vectors digested with BamHI, and introduced into E. coli JM101 by electroporation (DOWER, MILLER and RAGSDALE 1988). Appropriate clones were selected for DNA sequencing.

Computer analysis: Parsimony analysis was implemented by PAUP (D. SWOFFORD), and divergence calculations utilized the GCG program package (DEVEREUX, HAEBERLI and SMITHIES 1984). Phylogeny testing employed the program MATRIX2 (LAWRENCE and HARTL 1992).

RESULTS

Nucleotide substitutions: The DNA sequences of insertion elements IS1, IS3 and IS30 revealed little nucleotide variation in the form of base substitutions among strains of E. coli (Tables 1-3). Both types of IS1 were detected: IS1R, originally isolated from plasmid R100 (OHTSUBO and OHTSUBO 1978), and IS1F, first isolated from S. flexneri (OHTSUBO et al. 1984). Although they differ by 10% at the nucleotide level, little variation was detected within each isoform. Similarly, IS3 and IS30 were also virtually monomorphic, despite their presence in bacterial strains that are quite distinct based on enzyme electrophoretic profiles (Figure 1). Figure 1 also indicates strains containing multiple copies of either IS1F or IS1R. The isoforms were distinguished by (1) restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of PCR-amplified regions of IS1 cleaved with restriction endonucleases that distinguish

 TABLE 2

 Single nucleotide differences within IS3 copies from E. coli

									_			
	Strain ^a											
Position	K12	1	14	18	23	30	43	46	50	58	69	70
38	A		-	-	-	_		-	-	Т	_	_
80	Α		-	-	-	-	G	-	-	-	-	-
200	Α		G	-	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	-
250	G		_	-	-	-	Α	_	-	-	-	-
483	С	-			_	_	Т	_	_	-	-	-
485	С		_	Α	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
716	G		-	-	-	_	_	_			Т	_
1019	G	Α	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-
1125	С		-	-	-	-	-	_	Т	-	-	-
1214	Α	-	С	-	-	-	С	-	-	-	С	-

^a K12, E. coli K12 (TIMMERMAN and TU 1985); numbers refer to ECOR strains (OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984b). The nucleotide sequence of ECOR 63 appears in Figure 3. The dash indicates a nucleotide identical to that in K12.

TABLE 3

Single nucleotide differences within IS30 copies from E. coli

	Strain ^a												
Position	K12	1	8	14	19	23	24	31	35	36	50	56	71
74	Т	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	A	Α	Α	Α
75	Α	Т	Т	Т	т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
141	Α	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G
217	G	-	Α	-	А	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-
220	Α	С	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-
236	С	_	-	-		_	_	-	-	-	-	Т	_
266	Α		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	-	Т
276	Т	-		-	-	-	-	-		_	С	_	-
461	G	-	-	-	-	-	Т	-	_	-	-	-	_
479	G		-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	Т
486	Α	-	-	-	-		-	-	С	С	-	-	-
594	С	-	-		Α	-	-	-		-	_	-	-
755	Α	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	-	G	G	G
758	G	_	-	-		-	-	Α	-	-	Α	-	-
899	С	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		-	Α	-	-
983	С	-	-	-	-	Т	-	-	-	_		-	-
1046	С	-	-	-	-	Т	_	_	-	-	-	-	. –

^{*a*} K12, *E. coli* K12 (DALRYMPLE *et al.* 1984); numbers refer to ECOR strains (OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984b). The dash indicates a nucleotide identical to that in K12.

ISIR from ISIF (strains 2, 24, 29, 42, 55, 57, 59 and 72), and (2) DNA sequencing of a 280-bp region in particular ISI copies that included 29 sites distinguishing the two isoforms (strains 3, 6 and 30). The distributions of ISIF and ISIR are not confined to any one group of *E. coli*, but are widely distributed among divergent strains. For example, although strains 30, 32 and 33 are quite closely related, strain 30 contains ISIR, while strains 32 and 33 contain ISIF. We have not identified any strain containing both ISIR and ISIF. The multiple copies of IS1 in strains 2, 3, 6, 24, 29, 30, 42 and 72 are isoforms of ISIR, while those in strains 55, 57 and 59 are ISIF. However, the laboratory strain *E. coli* K12 harbors five ISIR and one ISIF (UMEDA and OHTSUBO 1991).



FIGURE 1.—Phylogenetic relationships of *E. coli* strains from which IS were isolated and analyzed [tree after SELANDER, CAUGANT and WHITTAM (1987)]. Strains from which copies of IS1R, IS1F, IS3 and IS30 were isolated are indicated.

Figure 2 shows the nucleotide sequences of IS1 elements resident in the genomes of related enteric bacteria. IS1-related sequences were isolated from three species of Shigella (S. dysenteriae, S. flexneri, and S. sonnei) and their nucleotide sequences agree well with IS1 sequences previously published from these taxa (OHTSUBO et al. 1984), differing by only single substitutions. IS1 homologs were also isolated from E. fergusonii, E. hermannii and E. vulneris. [Although classified as Escherichia, E. hermannii and E. vulneris are as divergent from E. coli as species of Enterobacter (LAWRENCE, HARTL and OCHMAN 1991b; LAWRENCE, OCHMAN and HARTL 1991).] Relationships among IS1 homologs isolated from these species are presented in Table 4. The relationships among the elements are not congruent with those inferred from chromosomal loci, notably gap and ompA, which encode glucose-3-

J. G. Lawrence, H. Ochman and D. L. Hartl

1	Eco	GGTGATGCTG	CCAACTTACT	GATTTAGTGT	ATGATGGTGT	TTTTGAGGTG	CTCCAGTGGC
	Ere					C	
	Ene	AG					
	EVU Cdl						
	Sec				A		
	Sdy						
	Juy						
61	Eco	TTCTGTTTCT	ATCAGCTGTC	CCTCCTGTTC	AGCTACTGAC	COTOCTOC	GTAACGGCAA
•••	Efe	CA-CC	T	C	A	C	T
	Ehe	A			A	c	T
	Evu						
	Sfl	CAC	A	-TC	CAA	c-c	
	Seo						
	Sdy						
121	Eco	AAGCACCGCC	GGACATCAGC	GCTATCTCTG	CTCTCACTGC	CGTAAAACAT	GGCAACTGCA
	Efe	TT					GA
	Ehe	~TT					GT
	Evu	T					
	sfl	~T	-A		Ť		A
	Sso	~T					
	-						
181	ECO	GTTCACTTAC	ACCECTICIC	AACCCGGTAC	GUALCAGAAA	ATCATIGATA	IGGCCATGAA
	EI9	~~~~~~~~		-G			
	Ene			-6	A		
	EVU Cdl						
	511						
	Sdu						
	auy						
241	Eco	TGGCGTTGGA	TOCCOGOCAN	CAGCCCGCAT	TATCCCCCTT	GGCCTCAACA	CGATTTTACG
	Efe			-C			
	Ehe	C	TCC-	GT			
	Evu	C	TCC	GTA			G
	Sf1	C	TCC-	GTA			G
	Seo			-TA			
	Sdy			-TA		A	
301	Eco	TCACTTAAAA	AACTCAGGCC (CAGTCGGTA	ACCTCGCGCA	TACAGCCGGG (AGTGACGTC
	Efe		A		A	A	
	2he	A			-AA	A	
	Evu					A	G
	sf1					A	TG
	S#0						G
	Sdy						
361	Eco	ATCGTCTGCG	CGGAAATGGA	CGAACAGTGG	GGCTATGTCG	GGGCTAAATC	GCGCCAGCGC
	Efe	T					AT
	Lne						
	Pres						A
	Evu	T	-T	·	C	-T	AT
	Evu Sfl	T	-T	T	C	-T	AT
	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy	T	-T	тт	C	-T	AT
	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy	T	-T	T		-T	AT AT
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG	C C AC	-T -T TTGCGCACGT	AT AT ATTCGGTGAA
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe	T T 	ACGCGTATGA	CAGTCTCCGG		-T -T TTGCGCACGT -GA-G	AT AT ATTCGGTGAA CTG
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe	TGGCTGTTTT T-A	-T -T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A	C 	-T -T TTGCGCACGT -GA-G -G	AT AT AT
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe Evu	T	-T -T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A	C C C AAGACGGTTG -GC- -G	-T -T TTGCGCACGT -GA-G	AT AT A-TTCGGTGAA CTG G
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl	T	ACGCGTATGA	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A	C C C AAGACGGTTG -GC- -G -G	-T -T TTGCGCACGT -GA-G	AT AT ATTCGGTGAA CTG G
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe Evu Sfl Sso	T	-TG	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A GA-A	C C AAGACGGTTG -GG- -G -G	-T -T TTGCGCACGT -GA-G -G -G -G	AT AT AT
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy	T	-T	T CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A GA GA	C 	-T -T -TTGCGCACGT -GA-C -G -G	AT AT AT
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy	T T TGGCTGTTTT T-A 	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C GA-A GA 	C 	-T -T	ATA ATA A-TCGGTGAA CTG GC CC
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco	T T TGGCTGTTTT T-A T 	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A 	C C 	-T	AT AT ATTCGGTGAA CT
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco	TC	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A 	C 	-T	ATG ATG CTG C
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ene	T TGGCTGTTTT T-A 	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A 	C C AAGACGGTTG -GC -G -G AGCCTGCTGT G	-T -T	ATA AT
421	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso	T T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG 	C C C 	-T	ATATG ATG G
421	Evu Sfl Szo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Szo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Szo	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A 	C C 	-T	ATA ATG C
421	Evu Sfl Szo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Szo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Szo Sdy	T TGGCTGTTTTT-A	-TA 	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A GCGTCTTATG GCGTCTTATG 	C C AC -G -G AGCCTGCTGT G 	-T	ATA ATG C
421	Evu Sfl Seo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Seo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Seo Sdy	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG 	C C -AC -G -G AGCCTGCTGT G	-T -T -T	ATA ATG CG CG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Szo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Szo Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Szo Sdy Eco	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A GA GCGTCTTATG CC- 	C C 	-T	ATA ATG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Efe	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C 	C C C 		ATA ATG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-AT GA-A 	C C -AC -G -G -G AGCCTGCTGT G TCCCGCCTGA 		ATA ATG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A GA GCGTCTTATG CC 	C C 		AT
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Sta	T	-T		C C C 		ATA ATG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A 	C C C 		ATA ATG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfi Sao Sdy Eco Efe Ete Evu Sfi Sao Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfi Sao Sdy Eco Sdy Eco Sdy Star Sao Sdy	TC T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A GA-A GA GCGTCTTATG CC 	C C 		AT ATG G
421 481 541	Evu Sfi Sto Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfi Sto Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfi Sto Sdy Eco Efe Ete Evu Sfi Sto Sdy	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C GA-A GA 	C C C 		AT
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sao Sdy Ecc Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Sdy Ecc Sdy Ecc Sdy Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ec	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A 	C C C 	-T	ATA ATG ATG G
421 481 541	Evu Sfil Sao Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfil Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ere Evu Sfil Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C 	C C C 		AT AT ATG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Ete Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Ete Ete Ete Ete Ete Ete Ete Ete Ete	T	-T	Castcriccgg 	C C C 	-T	AT
421 481 541 601	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Sdy Eco Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Evu Sso Sdy Sdy Eco Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe	T	-T	Casteries of the second	C C AAGACGGTTG 		ATG ATG GG C
421 481 541	Evu Sfi Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfi Sso Sdy Eco Efe Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Sdy Eco Sso Sdy Eco Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Ss	T	-T	Cagtottocog 	C C AAGACGGTTG -GG- -G -G AGCCTGCTGT G 		AT
421 481 541	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Sdy Sdy Sdy Sdy Sso Sdy Sdy Sso Sso Sdy Sso Sdy Sso Sdy Sso Sso Sdy Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso Sso	T	-T	Carter and the second s	C C 		ATG ATG C
421 481 541 601	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Efe Etvu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecc Ete Evu Sso Sdy Ecc Sdy Ecc Sdy Ecc Ecc Ete Ete Sso Sdy Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ecc Ec	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A 	C C AAGACGGTTG 		ATA ATG ATG G
421 481 541 601	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Evu Sso Sdy Eco Evu Sso Sdy Eco Evu Sso Sdy Eco Eco Evu Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C 	C C C 		AT
421 481 541 601	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ehe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Sdo Sdo Sdo Sdo Sdo Sdo Sdo Sdo Sdo Sd	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG 	C C 		ATG ATG G
421 481 541 601	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Evu Sfl Eco Evu Sfl Eco Evu Sfl Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Fre Fre Fre Fre Fre Fre Fre Fre Fre Fre	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A 	C C AAGACGGTTG 		AT ATG G
421 481 541 601 661	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Eevu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Efe Ete Eco Efe Efe Eco Efe Efe Eco Efe Efe Eco Efe Eco Efe Efe Eco Sdy Eco Efe Efe Eco Sdy Eco Efe Efe Eco Efe Efe Eco Sdy Eco Efe Efe Eco Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Eco Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe Efe	T	-T	Carteria and a second s	C C C 		AT
421 481 541 601 661	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Ecco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Ecco Efe Ecco Efe Ecco Ecco Ecco Ecco Ecco Ecco Ecco Ecc	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-AT 	C C AAGACGGTTG C 		AT
421 481 541 601	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C 	C C C 		AT
421 481 541 601 661	Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evul Sfl Evul Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evul Sso Sdy Eco Evul Sso Sdy Eco Evul Sso Sdy Eco Evul Sso Sdy Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco	T	-TG -T	CAGTCTCCGG 	C C C 		AT
421 481 541 601 661	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Evu Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Evu Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Evu Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco	Canal Content of the second s	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-A 	C C AAGACGGTTG 		AT
421 481 541 601 661	Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evul Sso Sdy Eco Evul Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG 	C C C 		AT
421 481 541 601 661 721	Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Eth	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C 	C C 		AT
421 481 541 601 661 721	Evu Stil Sto Sto Sto Sto Sto Sto Sto Sto	CA CA ACCATATC CA CA CA CA CA CA CA CA	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GTC GA-A 	C C 		AT ATG G
421 481 541 601 721	Evul Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evul Sso Sdy Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Efe Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ete Evul Sso Sdy Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco Eco	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG GA-GT-C GA-GT-C 	C C C 		AT AT ATG G
421 481 541 601 721	Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Efe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Ethe Ethe Evu Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Eco Ethe Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Ethe Ethe Ethe Ethe Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Ethe Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Ethe Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Ethe Sfl Sfl Sso Sdy Eco Ethe Ethe Sfl Sfl Sfl Sfl Sfl Sfl Sfl Sfl	T	-T	CAGTCTCCGG 	C C 		AT ATG G

FIGURE 2.—Sequences of IS1 from enteric bacteria. Eco, E. coli (OHTSUBO and OHTSUBO 1978); Sdy, S. dysenteriae; Sfl, S. flexneri; Sso, S. sonnei, Efe, E. fergusonii; Ehe, E. hermannii, Evu, E. vulneris. Numbering begins at the first base of the inverted repeat.

TABLE 4

Relationships among IS1 homologs from enteric bacteria

	Percent nucleotide identity						
Bacteria	Efe	Ehe	Evu	Sfl			
Bases 1–768							
Eco	91.1	92.3	94.4	90.5			
Efe		93.1	91.4	90.3			
Ehe			94.8	93.4			
Evu				95.8			
Bases 1–246							
Eco	92.3	94.3	99.6	90.2			
Efe		95.7	92.8	91.9			
Ehe			94.7	90.7			
Evu				90.7			
Bases 246-540							
Eco	87.1	88.4	89.1	88.4			
Efe		90.1	89.8	89.1			
Ehe			95.2	94.6			
Evu				98.6			
Bases 541-768							
Eco	95.8	95.2	95.6	93.4			
Efe		94.8	92.1	90.6			
Ehe			94.3	94.7			
Evu				97.8			

^a Taxon designations: Eco, E. coli K12; Efe, E. fergusonii; Ehe, E. hermannii; Evu, E. vulneris; Sfl, S. flexneri.

TABLE 5

Test of recombination within IS1 and IS3

Destation	Commission	Distri	bution	Similarity		
data set ^a	data set	μ	σ	cient	P^b	
IS1s2	IS1s1	0.892	0.076	0.600	0.001	
IS1s1	IS1s2	0.910	0.062	0.600	0.000	
IS1s3	IS1s1	0.894	0.073	0.520	0.000	
IS1s1	1S1s3	0.891	0.065	0.520	0.000	
IS1s3	IS1s2	0.910	0.066	0.480	0.000	
IS1s2	IS1s3	0.893	0.065	0.480	0.000	
IS3a	IS3c	0.898	0.051	0.505	0.000	
IS3c	IS3a	0.925	0.042	0.505	0.000	

^a IS1s1: nucleotides 1–246; IS1s2: nucleotides 247–540; IS1s3: nucleotides 541–768 of IS1 (see Table 4). IS3a, IS3c: IS3 ORFs (see Figure 5).

^b Significance estimated as the number of similarity coefficients generated by resampling methods that are smaller than that observed between the bootstrap and comparison data sets (LAWRENCE and HARTL 1991).

phosphate dehydrogenase and outer membrane protein 3A, respectively (LAWRENCE, OCHMAN and HARTL 1991). Moreover, the evolutionary histories of different segments of IS1 appear to be substantially different. For example, while the 5' end of IS1 from *E. vulneris* is nearly identical to IS1R, the remainder of the element is quite different and closely resembles IS1F. The three portions of IS1 defined in Table 4 describe different taxonomic relationships to a high level of significance by the test of LAWRENCE and HARTL (1992) (P < 0.001, Table 5).

Homologs of IS3 were isolated from S. dysenteriae,

38	Eco	AGTAAACTCT	CAOGTCAGAG	GTGACTCACA	TGACAAAAAC	AGTATCAACC	AGTAAAAAAC	638	Eco	TCAGGGACTG	AGGGCAAAGG	CCTCCCGGAA	GTTCAGCCCG	GTCAGCTACC	GCGCACACGG
	E63				A0	T	A		E63					*********	
	Sdy						CA		Sdy	·G		G		T	T
	S£1			T					Sfl						
	ef 1				T-	T	CG		Ef 1	G	A-			T	-TAA
	Ef2				T~	T	CG		Ef2	G	A-			T	-TAA
	Sod		A-CA		C-	C	-CG-		Sod	G	CGA-	GCCG		T	-T-AGT
98	Eco	CCCGTAAACA	GCATTCGCCT	GAATTTCGCA	GTGAAGCCCT	GAAGCTTGCT	GAACGCATCG	698	Eco	CCTGCCTGTG	TCAGAAAATC	TGTTGGAGCA	GGATTTTTAC	GCCAGTGGCC	CGAACCAGAA
	E63	-00		T		A			E63						
	Say	-A							Sdy	·		c		T-	
	SEL								SIL						
	##2								511						
	Sod	-ACG	AA-A	6	AC	AG	TT-		Sod	T					
													°,	•	
158	Eco	GTGTTACTGC	CGCAGCCCGT	GAACTCAGCC	TGTATGAATC	ACAACTCTAC	AACTGGCGCA	758	Eco	GTGGGCAGGA	GACATCACGT	ACTTACGTAC	AGATGAAGGC	TGGCTGTATC	TGGCAGTGGT
	£63	G				T	GCG		E63						
	sdy	G		T		TGT	GCG		Sdy	G					T
	\$f 1					G			Sfl	c					
	Ef 1	G				G			Efl	G	T-				
	Ef2	G				G			Ef2	G+	T-				
	Sod	GG-C	Acc		-TG	GA-T	-CT-		Sod	TGT		-TC-GC	C-G		-AG
218	Eco	GTAAACAGCA	AAATCAGCAG	ACGTCTTCTG	AACGTGAACT	GGAGATGTCT	ACCGAGATTG	818	Eco	CATTGACCTG	TGGTCACGTG	CCGTTATTGG	CIGGICAAIG	TCGCCACGCA	TGACGGCGCA
	663	-CT	GC-AAAT-	-GTGA-	-GAG	TACG-C	G-TA-A		E63						
	say	-C1	GC-AAI-	CG	-GAG	TACG-C	G-1A-A		Sdy				C		
	241		- 6						511						
	511								EII						
	Sod	-CG-G	GC-AGCA	C	666	CAC-CG-C	G-TA		EIZ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		->			
				•			•••		300						/
278	Eco	CACGTCTCAA	ACGCCAGCTG	GCAGAACGGG	ATGAAGAGCT	GGCTATCCTC	CAAAAGGCCG	878	Eco	ACTOCCTOC	GATGCCCTGC	AGATGGCGCT	Tanaanaata	AAGAGGCCCC	GGOOAACGTT
	E63	-CCG	GGA	GG-A	CAGA			0.0	E63						
	sdy	-CCG	GGA	GG-A	CAGA				Sdy	,				A	
	Sfl								Sfl						
	ef1								Ef1					A	
	£12								Ef2					A	
	Sod	-cc	A	T	-CGA	CT			Sod	GT	AA	T-	A	AC-00	AATC
220				0001110011			1001000000		_						
238	200	CGACATACIT	TT-T	CIGAAAIGAA	GIAIGICIII	ATIGAAAAAC	AICAGGCIGA	938	Eco	ATCGTTCACA	CGGACCGTGG	AGGCCAGTAC	TGTTCAGCAG	ATTATCAGGC	GCAACTGAAG
	Sdv		T						E63						
	sf1								say		A	-A-1			
	Ef1		T				G		511						TC
	Ef2		т				G		E.f.2		C	-A			TG
	Sod		C			CG-			Sod	G+	-AA	T	A-G-	CAG	CTTA
398	Eco	GTTCAGCATC	AAAGCAATGT	GCCGCGTGCT	CCGGGTGGCC	CGCAGCGGCT	GGTATACGTG	998	Eco	CGGCATAATC	TGCGTGGAAG	TATGAGCGCA	AAAGGTTGCT	GCTACGATAA	TGCCTGCGTG
	E63								E 63						
	sdy		G						Sdy	T	C		GC		
	SII								Sfl						
	EII			T			A		Ef1		C		GA		
	ELZ				C-NC C		A		Ef2		C		GA		
	300				G-ACC-				500		AGC	T	T-	-TC	T-C-
458	Eco	GTGTCAGCGG	CGGACAAGGA	TAAGCACGCG	TCAGCAGTTC	CGCCAACACT	GCGACAGCGT	1050	For	GAAAGCTTCT	TTCATTCCCT	CARACTCONS	TOTATOONTO	GAGAACACTT	TATCACCCC
	E63						CG	1038	ECO		IICAIICGCI		IGINICCAIG	SAGAACACIT	THICKGCCGG
	sdy		G	C-T		T-TG			Sdv				CC-		
	sf1								Sf1						
	Ef 1		T	A		TG	CG		Ef1				-c-cc-		
	Ef2		T	A		TG	CG		Ef2				CC-		
	Sod	-СТ	TCACCA	A-CG	A	A-TTG	TGAT-C		Sođ		CA	G	C-	-GGG	-GC
	_														
518	ECO	TGTCCTCGCG	GCTUUOTTTA	LCCGGTCAAA	ACAGCGTTAC	GGTGCCCCAC	GCCTGACGGA	1118	Lco	GAAATAATGC	GGGCAACGGT	GTTTAATTAT	ATCGAATGTG	ATTACAATCG	GTGGCGGCGG
	E 0 J								E63						
	50Y					A			sdy	T	A				C¥
	Ef)	GT-A-							511 741						
	Ef2	GT-A-				A			EII Ef?						C
	Sod	GT-GACA-	A-CG		T	AGT-	-TTG-T		Sort		-AA-GA				CTT
					-								30-		1
578	Eco	TGAACTGCGT	GCTCAGGGTT	ACCCCTTTAA	CGTAAAAACC	GTGGCGGCAA	GCCTGCGCCG	1178	Eco	CACAGTTGGT	GTGGCGGCCT	CAGTCCGGAA	CAATTTGAAA	АСААБААССТ	
	E 63								E 63					C	
	Sdy			A					Sdy					c	
	\$fl								sf1					c	
	Ef1	<u>T</u>		A					Ef 1		TT			c	
	EIZ Cort	T		A					E£2		TT			C	
	500	CG	-W00000000	00000-AC	-A-CGT	A-CCC-	-TJ		Sod	GCC-	T	TC	G	C-A	

FIGURE 3.—Sequences of IS3 from enteric bacteria. Eco, E. coli K12 (TIMMERMAN and TU 1985); E63, E. coli ECOR 63; Sdy, S. dysenteriae; Sfl, S. flexneri, Ef1, E. fergusonii ATCC 35469; Ef2, E. fergusonii ATCC 35471; Sod, S. odorifera. Numbering begins at the first base of the inverted repeat.

S. flexneri, E. fergusonii and S. odorifera (Figure 3). While most copies from E. coli were monomorphic, the IS3 from ECOR 63 showed evidence of intragenic recombination. Figure 5 details the regions of IS3 from E. coli K12, ECOR 63, and S. dysenteriae involved in the putative recombination events. In the region from 349 to 1222 bp, IS3 from ECOR 63 is 99.4% identical to its K12 counterpart, and 95% identical to the IS3 from Shigella. However, both the ECOR 63 and the Shigella elements are only 65% identical to the K12 element in the region from 209 to 315 bp, and 96% identical to each other. The occurrence of a large number of differences in a limited and sharply bounded region strongly suggests the region bounded by 209–315 bp was the result of intragenic recombination between a Shigella-like IS3 and a distantly related element. Following the initial recombination event, a second intragenic recombination transferred the divergent sequence, as well as additional Shigellalike sequences from 97 to 348 bp, to the IS3 now resident in ECOR 63. As a result of the recombination, the two putative open reading frames (ORFs), IS3a and IS3c, support substantially different phylogenetic trees (Figure 5) and the taxonomic relation-

1	Eco Ehe	TGTAGATTCA	ATTGGTCAAC	GCAACAGTTA AC-	ТGTGAAAACA	TGGGGTTGCG	GAGGTTTTTT AG
61	Eco Ehe	GAATGAGACG	AACTATTACA	GCAGAGGAAA	ААGCCTCTGT А-А	ТТТТБААСТА	TGGAAGAACG
121	Eco Ehe	GAACAGGCTT	САGTGAAATA	ACGAATATCC G-T	TGGGTTCAAA	ACCCGGAACG AGC	ATCTTCACTA
181	Eco Ehe	TGTTAAGGGA	TACTGGCGGC	АТААААСССС А-А	ATGAGCGTAA	GCGGGGCTGTA	GCTCACCTGA
241	Eco Ehe	CACTGTCTGA -G	GCGCGAGGAG	ATACGAGCTG	GTTTGTCAGC	CAAAATGAGC T	ATTCGTGCGA
301	Eco Ehe	TAGCTACTGC -CAAT	GCTGAATCGC	AGTCCTTCGA	CGATCTCACG	TGAAGTTCAG	CGTAATCGGG
361	Eco Ehe	GCAGACGCTA -TG	TTACAAAGCT	GTTGATGCTA	ATAACCGAGC	CAACAGAATG	GCGAAAAGGC TCA-
421	Eco Ehe	CAAAACCGTG	CTTACTGGAT	CAAAATTTAC GA-G-	CATTGCGAAA -GG-	GCTTGTTCTG	GAAAAGCTGG
481	Eco Ehe	AGATGAAATG	GTCTCCAGAG	CAAATATCAG	GATGGTTAAG	GCGAACAAAA GG	CCACGTCAAA G
541	Eco Ehe	AAACGCTGCG	AATATCACCT	GAGACAATTT	ATAAAACGCT	GTACTTTCGT T	AGCCGTGAAG
601	Eco Ehe	CGCTACACCA	CCTGAATATA	CAGCATCTGC	GACGGTCGCA	TAGCCTTCGC CCT	CATGGCAGGC
661	Eco Ehe	GTCATACCCG -CT	CAAAGGCGAA	AGAGGTACGA	TTAACATAGT -C	GAACGGAACA	CCAATTCACG
721	Eco Ehe	AACGTTCCCG	AAATATCGAT GC	AACAGACGCT	CTCTAGGGCA	TTGGGAGGGC CA	GATTTAGTCT
781	Eco Ehe	CAGGTACAAA -G	AAACTCTCAT	ATAGCCACAC	TTGTAGACCG	AAAATCACGT	TATACGATCA
841	Eco Ehe	TCCTTAGACT	CAGGGGCAAA	GATTCTGTCT CGT-A-	САСТАААТСА	GGCTCTTACC	GACAAATTCC
901	Eco Ehe	TGAGTTTACC	GTCAGAACTC AC-T	AGAAAATCAC C-GCG	TGACATGGGA	CAGAGGAATG	GAACTGGCCA
961	Eco Ehe	GACATCTAGA	ATTTACTGTC	AGCACCGGCG	TTAAAGTTTA	CTTCTGCGAT	CCTCAGAGTC
1021	Eco Ehe	CTTGGCAGCG -C	GGGAACAAAT	GAGAACACAA AT-	ATGGGCTAAT	TCGGCAGTAC CA	TTTCCTAAAA
081	Eco Ehe	AGACATGTCT	TGCCCAATAT	ACTCAACATG	AACTAGATCT -GGA	GGTTGCTGCT	CAGCTAAACA
141	Eco Ehe	ACAGACCGAG	AAAGACACTG	ААСТТСАААА	САССБАААБА	GATAATTGAA	AGGGGTGTTG
201	Eco	CATTGACAGA	TTGAATCTAC	A			

FIGURE 4.—Sequences of IS30 from enteric bacteria. Eco, *E. coli* K12 (DALRYMPLE, CASPERS and ARBER 1984); Ehe, *E. hermannii*. Numbering begins at the first base of the inverted repeat.

Ehe -G-----

ships inferred from these two ORFs are significantly different (P < 0.001; Table 5).

The single IS30 isolated from *E. hermannii* was 89.5% identical to the *E. coli* K12 element (Figure 4). Southern blot analysis revealed the presence of sequences related to IS30 in the genomes of *Escherichia blattae* and *E. fergusonii*; however, the relative hybridization intensity indicated that either the IS30 sequence was much more divergent than that resident in the *E. hermannii* genome, or only a small fragment of the element remained in that genome (data not shown).

Length variation: In addition to the nucleotide substitutions and recombinations described above, several insertions and deletions were observed within various IS (Table 6). The IS30 from ECOR 24 carried an insertion of the unrelated transposon IS3411. In addition, the IS3 from ECOR 18 carried a 4-bp duplication which may have arisen as a target duplication from transposon insertion and subsequent excision. In total, five of the 35 elements examined from *E. coli*



FIGURE 5.—Representation of intragenic recombination within IS3. K12, E. coli K12; EC63, E. coli ECOR 63; Efe, E. fergusonii; Sdy, S. dysenteriae; Sfl, S. flexneri; Sod, S. odorifera. The location of the two putative ORFs, IS3a and IS3c, are indicated with heavy lines. Dendrograms were constructed using parsimony methods from sequences of either the IS3a or IS3c reading frames. Consistency indices for the trees are 0.905 and 0.848, respectively.

TABLE 6

Length differences within insertion sequences from E. coli

IS	Strain	Position	Event
IS <i>1</i>	ECOR32	544-545	Deletion of AT
IS1	ECOR33	544-545	Deletion of AT
IS3	ECOR63	71	Deletion of A
IS3	ECOR63	98-99	Deletion of CC
IS <i>3</i>	ECOR18	493/494	Insertion of CAGT
IS <i>3</i>	ECOR50	1166-1182	Deletion of 17 bp
IS30	ECOR24	1071-1072	Deletion of TT
IS <i>30</i>	ECOR24	1070/1071	Insertion of IS3411

exhibited variation in length which would disrupt a major ORF.

The IS30 and IS1 sequences from other enteric species were the same length as the *E. coli* element, but several IS3 elements differed in size (Figure 3). The IS3 copy in *S. dysenteriae* contains a 3-bp insertion conserving the IS3c reading frame. The *S. odorifera* element exhibits 12- and 2-bp deletions, and a 2-bp insertion, which together conserve the reading frame of IS3c. The 3 bp deleted from IS3a in ECOR 63, although conserving the reading frame in this element, probably arose as two separate events (Table 6). Since the putative initiation codon has been mutated to AAG in this isoform, it is unclear whether the second deletion was favored by selection to restore the proper reading frame.

Distribution of IS3411: The IS30 from ECOR 24 exhibits a 1.2-kb insertion which proved to be IS3411. Although these sequences were plasmid borne, IS30::IS3411 transposons were not detected in other

 TABLE 7

 Distribution of IS3411 within the ECOR strains

Strain ^a	Chromosomal	Plasmid	Strain	Chromosomal	Plasmid
2	6	1	39	13	2
3	6	1	40	2	0
4	1	1	41	0	1
7	0	1	43	0	2
8	3	0	44	0	2
9	6	1	49	0	1
10	6	1	50	8	1
11	11	1	51	1	1
13	0	1	52	1	0
15	1	0	53	0	1
18	1	0	54	0	1
19	5	0	55	1	0
20	2	0	56	1	0
21	1	1	57	1	0
23	0	1	59	0	1
24	7	6	60	1	0
25	5	0	61	1	0
30	1	1	62	6	1
34	4	1	63	1	0
35	0	7	64	8	1
36	9	1	70	2	0
37	0	2	71	2	1
38	0	2	72	2	1

^a Number refers to ECOR strain (OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984b). Only strains harboring IS*3411* are listed.

ECOR strains. The distribution of IS3411 within ECOR strains is presented in Table 7. A total of 174 copies of IS3411, 127 chromosomal and 47 plasmid, were detected among 46 strains. The copy number of 3.7 per infected strain is typical of other IS (SAWYER et al. 1987). Analysis of the distribution of IS3411 among ECOR strains by the method of SAWYER et al. (1987) suggested a pattern of regulation similar to that of IS1 and IS5. Models with no explicit regulation of transposition (that is, a linear increase of transposition rate with copy number), and a moderate decrease in fitness with increasing copy number, fit the data reasonably well ($P \sim 0.25$). Models with constant or deceasing rate of transposition with increasing copy numbers were strongly rejected.

ORF analysis: GALAS and CHANDLER (1989) proposed 8, 7 and 3 potential ORF for IS1, IS3 and IS30, respectively (Figure 6). To determine which ORFs were evolutionarily conserved, and therefore the most, likely to encode proteins, nucleotide substitutions in each ORF within divergent copies of each IS were classified as nonsynonymous substitutions, which altered the amino acid sequence, or synonymous substitutions. Conserved ORFs were identified as those with an excess of synonymous substitutions relative to nonsynonymous substitutions, an absence of chain terminating substitutions, and preservation of initiation and termination codons. Data for certain comparisons are presented in Tables 8–10.

IS1 contains two evolutionarily conserved ORFs,



FIGURE 6.—Genetic organization of bacterial insertion sequences (after GALAS and CHANDLER 1989). Reading frames transcribed from the positive strand are placed above each element; those transcribed from the complementary strand are placed below. Heavy lines indicate conserved ORFs.

TABLE 8

Comparison of IS1 ORFs from E. coli and S. flexneri

				Percent Divergen		
ORF ^a	Begin ^b	End	Stop	Replacement	Silent	Protein similarity
а	27 (GTG)	239 (TGA)		13.8	2.8	75.4
b	56 (GTG)	331 (TAA)		4.0	32.1	95.2
С	250 (ATG)	753 (TAA)		5.1	33.0	92.0
\mathbf{d}	353 (GTG)	511 (TGA)	1	16.6	1.9	71.6
e	376 (ATG)	753 (TAA)		4.2	35.4	93.5
f	719 (ATG)	429 (TAA)	2	7.5	30.7	89.0
g	468 (GTG)	199 (TGA)	2	7.5	11.1	88.4
h	304 (GTG)	89 (GGA)	2	10.4	8.1	83.3
bc	56 (GTG)	753 (TAA)		3.8	36.8	94.6

^a ORF notation of GALAS and CHANDLER (1989).

^b Begin, putative initiation codon; End, putative termination codon. Codons in parentheses are present in *S. flexneri*.

^c Stop = number of nonsense substitutions in the putative ORF. ^d Divergence values calculated by the method of PERLER *et al.* (1980).

IS1b and IS1c (Table 8). SEKINE and OHTSUBO (1989) proposed ribosomal frameshifting between these two reading frames as a requisite for the proper production of the IS1 transposase. This hypothesis is supported by the present data, since both ORFs are conserved among divergent copies. The putative full length protein is described by the IS1bc ORF (Table 8), created by a -1 frameshift between these two reading frames. As expected, the 5' portion of IS1c, which would be translated in the frame of IS1b, contains an excess of nonsynonymous substitutions in the IS1c frame. The remaining potential reading frames in IS1 are not evolutionarily conserved, bearing either nonsense mutations in the divergent homolog, loss of initiation or termination codons, or an excess of nonsynonymous substitutions which would yield a substantially altered protein product.

TABLE 9

Comparison of IS3 ORFs from E. coli and S. odorifera

				Percent diver	_	
ORF [₽]	Begin	End	Stop	Replacement	Silent	Protein similarity
а	57 (GTG)	362 (TGA)		8.9	109.7	85.4
Ь	157 (GTG)	357 (TGA)		33.0	6.3	52.9
с	362 (ATG)	1225 (?)		8.5	116.4	88.5
d	415 (GTG)	573 (TGA)	3	44.3	34.4	55.6
e	1240 (?)	968 (TGA)	2	20.3	19.5	74.4
f	691 (ATG)	542 (TGC)	(1)	39.0	26.3	53.2
g	505 (<u>GTC</u>)	233 (<u>TGT</u>)	(1)	18.3	45.0	77.2

^a ORF notation of GALAS and CHANDLER (1989).

^b Begin, putative initiation codon; End, putative termination codon. Codons in parentheses are present in *S. odorifera*.

^c Stop = number of nonsense substitutions in the putative ORF. ^d Divergence values calculated by the method of PERLER *et al.* (1980).

IS3 also exhibits two evolutionarily conserved ORFs, IS3a and IS3c (Table 9). Both ORFS present the excess of synonymous over nonsynonymous substitutions typical of protein coding regions. IS30 bears a single evolutionarily conserved ORF, IS30a, which covers 94% of its length (Table 10). Although IS30c appears somewhat conserved, it is encoded on the complementary DNA strand as IS30a (Figure 6). It is unlikely that IS30c encodes a peptide since it lacks conventional promoters and a Shine-Dalgarno sequence.

DISCUSSION

Variation within E. coli: Eleven independent copies of IS1 representing both major types, 12 copies of IS3, and 12 copies of IS30 were isolated and examined from natural strains of E. coli and related enteric bacteria. The data presented in Tables 1-3 indicate that IS present in otherwise divergent isolates of E. coli are nearly monomorphic. The copies showed four or fewer substitutions relative to a consensus sequence (0-0.3% different), and these differences were typically restricted to a particular element. In contrast, sequence difference for chromosomal genes in representative strains of E. coli ranges from 0 to 3.4% at the trp locus (MILKMAN and CRAWFORD 1981), 2-4% at phoA (DUBOSE, DYKHUIZEN and HARTL 1988), and 4-16% at gnd (DYKHUIZEN and GREEN 1986). The sequence homogeneity of IS copies is also in strong contrast to the diversity in the number and chromosomal position of IS observed among E. coli strains (SAWYER et al. 1987; LAWRENCE et al. 1989).

The high rate of transposition of IS in E. coli genomes and their frequent occurrence on plasmids (SAWYER et al. 1987) suggests rapid turnover and frequent transfer of IS among strains. This model of population structure is supported by the sequence homogeneity among E. coli insertion sequences. Within E. coli, each family of IS turns over so rapidly

Comparison of IS3	0 ORFs from E.	coli and E. hermanni
-------------------	----------------	----------------------

			Percent divergence'		
ORF⁴	Begin ⁶	End	Replacement	Silent	Protein similarity
а	63 (ATG)	1214 (TGA)	2.5	46.1	96.0
ь	557 (GTG)	388 (TCG)	15.4	0.0	72.3
с	202 (ATG)	8 (TGA)	11.4	25.8	83.9

⁴ ORF notation of GALAS and CHANDLER (1989).

^b Begin, putative initiation codon; End, putative termination codon. Codons in parentheses are present in *E. hermannii*.

^c Divergence values calculated by the method of PERLER et al. (1980).

that there is insufficient time to accumulate substantial genetic divergence. High rates of interstrain transfer are also supported by the statistically significant cooccurrence of unrelated IS in *E. coli* genomes, which can be explained quantitatively by simultaneous plasmid-mediated transfer (HARTL and SAWYER 1988a,b). Rapid dissemination and turnover of IS copies in *E. coli* contrasts with the much smaller rate of exchange among conventional chromosomal genes (DUBOSE, DYKHUIZEN and HARTL 1988; STOLTZFUS, LESLIE and MILKMAN 1988; SELANDER and LEVIN 1980; WHIT-TAM, OCHMAN and SELANDER 1984).

Alternatively, insertion sequences may have been conserved among *E. coli* isolates due to intense selection. Variation at the *gap* locus, encoding the glycolytic enzyme glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, is also quite low (NELSON, WHITTAM and SELANDER 1991), as selection on both function and codon usage constrain the evolution of this gene even among distantly related enteric bacteria (LAWRENCE, HARTL and OCHMAN 1991b). However, nucleotide variation among IS homologs from related enteric species do not support this model. Rather, the lack of nucleotide variation among *E. coli* IS supports a model of high turnover within *E. coli* genomes with significant mobilization between isolates.

Variation among enteric bacteria: In contrast to the high rate of transfer of IS among *E. coli* genomes, horizontal transfer of IS among bacterial species is less frequent. For example, the genome of the closely related bacterium *Salmonella typhimurium* contains none of the IS present in *E. coli* K12 (GALAS and CHANDLER 1989), and the only IS isolated from *S. typhimurium* (IS200) has not been detected in *E. coli* (LAM and ROTH 1983a,b). The difference in IS pools between *E. coli* and Salmonella implies either that IS are very recent invaders of enteric genomes, that transfer of IS among bacterial species is not common, or that *E. coli* IS cannot proliferate in Salmonella genomes.

The inferred relationships of IS3 homologs from E. coli, S. dysenteriae, E. fergusonii and S. odorifera are consistent with the phylogenetic relationships inferred from chromosomal genes (LAWRENCE, HARTL and OCHMAN 1991b; LAWRENCE, OCHMAN and HARTL 1991). The IS3 copies from E. fergusonii are virtually identical to each other (Figure 3) and 1.9% and 15.6% divergent from the E. coli element at nonsynonymous and synonymous sites, respectively. This level of divergence is consistent with that observed for the ompA gene (LAWRENCE, HARTL and OCHMAN 1991b). The IS3 homolog from S. odorifera is substantially different from the E. coli sequence. It exhibits 9.0% and 119% divergence (corrected for multiple substitutions) at nonsynonymous and synonymous sites, respectively; these values are also consistent with the ompA data (LAWRENCE, HARTL and OCHMAN 1991b). The IS3 copies from Shigella are more closely related to those from E. coli than are those from E. fergusonii, which is consistent with the taxonomic placement of these species (EDWARDS and EWING 1962). These data suggest that IS3 elements have been resident in these species since their separation and have been evolving at approximately the same rate as chromosomal genes.

In constrast, the relationships inferred from IS1 sequences are not congruent with those inferred from chromosomal genes (Table 4). Sequence divergences among IS1 copies from E. hermannii and E. vulneris are substantially smaller than those observed for gap and ompA, both of which show strong conservation of both synonymous and nonsynonymous sites (LAW-RENCE, OCHMAN and HARTL 1991). In addition, while the ompA data indicate that E. fergusonii is more closely related to E. coli (0.8% divergent at nonsynonymous sites) than to either E. hermannii or E. vulneris (5.0% and 9.8% divergent at nonsynonymous sites, respectively), the IS1 data indicate just the opposite (3.9% vs. 3.0% and 2.1% divergence at nonsynonymous sites for the IS1bc ORF. Comparisons with IS1R and IS1F yield similar results). Although these comparisons are potentially confounded by recombination events among these elements (see below), it is probable that IS1 was introduced into these genomes by horizontal transfer since their separation. In addition, the divergence of IS30 in E. hermannii is substantially less that that of ompA or gap, which suggests more recent invasion of this species by IS30. Yet the absence of IS which closely resemble E. coli elements in other species indicates that the rate of horizontal transfer of IS among bacterial species must be considerably lower than the rate of intraspecific transfer.

Intragenic recombination: Both IS1 and IS3 provide evidence that intragenic recombination plays a role in the evolution of insertion sequences. Two nested intragenic recombinations involve IS3 homologs resident in *E. coli* (ECOR 63) and *S. dysenteriae*, as well as a distantly related element (Figure 5). The taxonomic relationships implicit in sequences within the recombined region (209–315 bp) are significantly different from those implicit in the remainder of the element (P = 0.000, Table 5), supporting a transfer of genetic material between the Shigella IS3 and a distantly related element. Moreover, this region, as well as flanking sequences (97-348 bp), were transferred a second time between the Shigella IS3 and and element now present in E. coli (Figure 5). As another indication of recombination, IS1 homologs in E. vulneris and E. hermannii are also chimeras of distantly related elements (Tables 4 and 5). At least three separate regions exhibiting statistically distinct evolutionary histories can be identified among IS1 sequences present in the genomes of enteric bacteria. Therefore, gene conversion, as well as rapid turnover, may play an important role in maintaining sequence homogeneity among IS within bacterial species. Recombination within bacterial genes has also been reported in E. coli (DUBOSE, DYKHUIZEN and HARTL 1988), Streptococcus pneumoniae (DOWSON et al. 1989), and Neisseria gonorrhoeae (SPRATT 1989).

Length polymorphisms: IS copies exhibit variation in length. Six of 35 elements (17%) examined from E. coli show length differences (Table 6), all but one being unique, and all the elements appear to be nonfunctional. In one case, IS3411 was inserted into an unrelated insertion sequence (IS30 in ECOR 24). The insertion site reveals not only a 3-bp target duplication, but a deletion of 2 bp downstream of the site of insertion. In addition, the 4-bp insertion in the ECOR 18 copy of IS3 (cag/CAGT/tt) may be a remnant of the target site duplication of an excised transposon. In contrast, in certain natural populations of Drosophila melanogaster, the KP element, which contains a deletion of over 1700 bp, accounts for over 50% of the P element homologs (BLACK et al. 1987). In Drosophila teissieri, at least 75% of the mariner elements are deleted for more than half of their length (MA-RUYAMA and HARTL 1991). The few, unique, defective transposons detected among E. coli isolates indicate that substantially different factors contribute to the evolution of transposon populations within prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes.

Ribosomal frameshifting: Analysis of nucleotide sequence variation revealed two evolutionarily conserved ORFs in divergent isolates of IS1 and IS3 and a single conserved ORF in IS30. It is not surprising that IS1 maintains two conserved ORFs. SEKINE and OHTSUBO (1989) have demonstrated that ribosomal frameshifting between IS1b and IS1c is required for the production of the IS1 transposase (see also LÜTHI *et al.* 1990), and our data support this hypothesis. The single conserved ORF of IS30 probably encodes that element's transposase. The finding of two conserved ORFs within IS3 was unexpected. However, a comparison between the IS1 and IS3 ORFs reveals striking similarity between the genetic organization of these

Organization of ORFs and potential frameshifting signals in bacterial insertion sequences

Element	5' ORF ^a	3' ORF	Signal ^ø	Location
IS <i>1</i>	56–331 (b)	250–750 (c)	AAAAAAC	307
IS <i>3</i>	57-365 (a)	362–1225 (с)	AAAAGG	326
IS10	4-168 (a)	108–1313 (b)	AAAAAT	84
IS21	102–1274 (a)	1271-2068 (d)	55	
IS51	1261-938 (d)	941-42 (f)	AAAAAAC	1258
IS150	48-569 (a)	566–1414 (c)	AAAAAG	561
1S <i>3411</i>	55-381 (a)	378–1097 (b)	AAAAAAT	373

^a Coordinates of 5' and 3' open reading frames in putative frameshifting events. Letters indicate ORF notation of Galas and Chandler (1989).

^b Potential frameshifting signal.

' Coordinates of frameshifting signal.

unrelated elements. Both show two conserved open reading frames, the shorter located at the 5' end of the element and oriented in the -1 reading frame relative to the longer 3' ORF. Both exhibit the polyadenine frameshifting signal as well as a potential hairpin structure to facilitate ribosome slippage. In addition to IS1, these motifs have been implicated as the source of ribosomal frameshifting in the bovine leukemia virus (YOSHINAKA et al. 1986), mouse mammary tumor virus (HIZI et al. 1987; JACKS et al. 1987), and human T cell leukemia virus (SHIMOTOHNO et al. 1985) genomes. The 3' ORF in IS3, like that in IS1, lacks a conventional promoter region for transcription initiation and a Shine-Dalgarno sequence for translation initiation. These similarities suggest that ribosomal frameshifting may be also responsible for the production of the IS3 transposase.

The frameshifting sequence motif may also be identified is other bacterial insertion sequences. The 19 IS described by GALAS and CHANDLER (1989) may be separated into three classes: (1) IS bearing one, long, uninterrupted open reading frame, such as IS4, IS5, IS6, IS30, IS50, IS186, IS701 and IS903; (2) IS bearing numerous scattered ORFs, such as IS66, IS136 and IS600; and (3) IS bearing two closely situated open reading frames, the smaller 5' ORF being in the -1 reading frame relative to the 3' ORF. These elements are listed in Table 11. Although the genetic organization of certain elements resembles that of IS1, clearly not every IS is regulated by ribosomal frameshifting. IS21 exhibits no obvious frameshifting signal between its closely positioned ORFs, while the poly(A) signal in IS10 would lead to translation termination prior to reaching the second ORF. These cases aside, IS3, IS150 and IS3411 all exhibit the sets of sequence motifs responsible for frameshifting in IS1. Aside from IS3, however, it is not clear which ORFs are evolutionarily conserved, and there is no empirical evidence for frameshifting. Nevertheless, the repetition of this motif among bacterial IS suggests that

ribosomal frameshifting may be a common mechanism for the regulation of IS transposition.

In summary, DNA sequence variation among bacterial IS within *E. coli* and related species indicates that (1) insertion sequences are rapidly mobilized among strains within a species; (2) horizontal transfer of IS occurs, but is less frequent than intraspecific transfer; (3) few defective transposons are present in the bacterial genome, relative to nonautonomous elements within eukaryotic genomes; (4) recombination occurs between IS at significant rates; and (5) functionally important ORFs can be recognized by their evolutionary conservation.

We thank S. SAWYER for aid with the IS3411 analysis, M. STURMOSKI for technical assistance, and D. DYKHUIZEN and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants GM 40322 (D.L.H.) and GM 40995 (H.O.) from the National Institutes of Health. The DNA sequences reported in this paper have been submitted to GenBank and have been assigned accession numbers Z11603 through Z11609.

LITERATURE CITED

- BERG, D. E., 1977 Insertion and excision of the transposable kanamycin resistance determinant Tn5, pp. 205-212 in DNA Insertion Elements, Plasmids, and Episomes, edited by A. BUK-HARI, J. A. SHAPIRO and S. ADHYA. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- BLACK, D. M., M. S. JACKSON, M. G. KIDWELL and A. DOVER, 1987 KP elements repress P-induced hybrid dysgenesis in Drosophila melanogaster using a novel and general method. Cell 25: 693-704.
- CAUGANT, D. A., B. R. LEVIN and R. K. SELANDER, 1981 Genetic diversity and temporal variation in the *E. coli* population of a human host. Genetics 98: 467–490.
- CHANDLER, M., M. CLERGET and L. CARO, 1980 IS*1*-promoted events associated with drug resistance plasmids. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **45:** 157–165.
- CHOW, L. T., and T. R. BROKER, 1981 Adjacent insertion sequences IS2 and IS5 in bacteriophage Mu mutants and IS5 in lambda darg bacteriophage. J. Bacteriol. 133: 1427-1436.
- DALRYMPLE, B., P. CASPERS and W. ARBER, 1984 Nucleotide sequence of the prokaryotic mobile genetic element IS30. EMBO J. 3: 2145-2149.
- DEVEREUX, J., P. HAEBERLI and O. SMITHIES, 1984 A comprehensive set of sequence analysis programs for the VAX. Nucleic Acids Res. 12: 387–395.
- DOWER, W. J., J. F. MILLER and C. RAGSDALE, 1988 High efficiency transformation of *E. coli* by high voltage electroporation. Nucleic Acids Res. 16: 6127–6145.
- DOWSON, C. G., A. HUTCHINSON, J. A. BRANNIGAN, R. C. GEORGE, D. HANSMAN, J. LINARES, A. TOMASZ, J. MAYNARD SMITH and B. G. SPRATT, 1989 Horizontal transfer of penicillin-binding genes in penicillin-resistant clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86**: 8842–8846.
- DUBOSE, R. F., D. E. DYKHUIZEN and D. L. HARTL, 1988 Genetic exchange among natural isolates of bacteria: recombination within the *phoA* locus of *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **85**: 7036-7040.
- DUBOSE, R. F., and D. L. HARTL, 1990 Rapid purification of PCR products for DNA sequencing using Sepharose CL-6B spin columns. Biotechniques 8: 271-273.
- DYKHUIZEN, D. E., and L. GREEN, 1986 DNA sequence variation, DNA phylogeny, and recombination in *E. coli*. Genetics 113 (Suppl.): s71.

- EDWARDS, P. R., and W. H. EWING, 1962 Identification of Enterobacteriaceae. Burgess, Minneapolis.
- FEINBERG, A. P., and B. VOGELSTEIN, 1983 A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. Anal. Biochem. **132:** 6–13.
- GALAS, D. J., and M. CHANDLER, 1989 Bacterial insertion sequences, pp. 109–162 in *Mobile DNA*, edited by D. E. BERG and M. M. HOWE. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- GLANDSDORFF, N., D. CHARLIER and M. ZAFARULLAH, 1980 Activation of gene expression by IS2 and IS3. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 45: 153–156.
- HALL, B. G., L. L. PARKER, P. W. BETTS, R. F. DUBOSE, S. A. SAWYER and D. L. HARTL, 1989 IS103: a new insertion element in *Escherichia coli*. Characterization and distribution in natural populations. Genetics 121: 423-431.
- HARTL, D. L., and S. A. SAWYER, 1988a Multiple correlations among insertion sequences in the genome of natural isolates of *Escherichia coli*, pp. 91–106 in *Transposition*, edited by A. J. KINGSMAN, S. M. KINGSMAN and K. F. CHATER. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- HARTL, D. L., and S. A. SAWYER, 1988b Why do unrelated insertion sequences occur together in the genome of *Escherichia coli*? Genetics **118**: 537-541.
- HELMS, C., M. Y. GRAHAM, J. E. DUTCHIK and M. V. OLSON, 1985 A new method for purifying lambda DNA from phage lysates. DNA 4: 39-49.
- HIZI, A., L. E. HENDERSON, T. D. COPELAND, R. C. SOWDER, C. V. HIXSON and S. OROSZLAN, 1987 Characterization of mouse mammary tumor virus gag-pro gene products and the ribosomal frameshift site by protein sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84: 7041-7045.
- JACKS, T. K. TOWNSLEY, H. E. VARMUS and J. MAJORS, 1987 Two efficient ribosomal frameshifting events are required for synthesis of mouse mammary tumor virus *gag*-related polyproteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **84:** 4298–4302.
- KLECKNER, N., R. K. CHAN, B. K. TYE and D. BOTSTEIN, 1975 Mutagenesis by insertion of a drug resistance element carrying an inverted repetition. J. Mol. Biol. 97: 561-575.
- LAM, S., and J. R. ROTH, 1983a Genetic mapping of IS200 copies in Salmonella typhimurium LT2. Genetics 105: 801-811.
- LAM, S., and J. R. ROTH, 1983b IS200: a Salmonella-specific insertion sequence. Cell 34: 951-960.
- LAWRENCE, J. G., and D. L. HARTL, 1992 Inference of horizontal genetic transfer from molecular data: an approach using the bootstrap. Genetics (in press).
- LAWRENCE, J. G., D. L. HARTL and H. OCHMAN, 1991a Sequencing products of the polymerase chain reaction. Methods Enzymol. (in press).
- LAWRENCE, J. G., D. L. HARTL, and H. OCHMAN, 1991b Molecular considerations in the evolution of bacterial genes. J. Mol. Evol. 33: 241-250
- LAWRENCE, J. G., H. OCHMAN and D. L HARTL, 1991 Molecular and evolutionary relationships among enteric bacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. **137**: 1911–1921
- LAWRENCE, J. G., D. E. DYKHUIZEN, R. F. DUBOSE and D. L. HARTL, 1989 Phylogenetic analysis of *Escherichia coli* based on insertion sequence fingerprinting. Mol. Biol. Evol. 6: 1-14.
- LIAB, M., 1980 IS5 increases recombination in adjacent regions as shown for the repressor gene of coliphage lambda. Gene 12: 277-280.
- LÜTHI, K.. M. MOSER, J. RYSER and H. WEBER, 1990 Evidence for a role of translational frameshifting in the expression of transposition activity of the bacterial insertion element IS1. Gene 88: 15-20.
- MARUYAMA, K., and D. L. HARTL, 1991 Evolution of the transposable element *mariner* in *Drosophila* species. Genetics 128: 319-329.

- MILKMAN, R., and I. P CRAWFORD, 1981 Clustered third base substitutions among wild strains of *Escherichia coli*. Science 221: 378-379.
- NELSON, K., T. S. WHITTAM and R. K. SELANDER, 1991 Nucleotide polymorphism and evolution in the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate gene (gapA) in natural populations of Salmonella and Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 6667–6671.
- OCHMAN, H., and R. K. SELANDER, 1984a Evidence for clonal population structure in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 198-201.
- OCHMAN, H., and R. K. SELANDER, 1984b Standard reference collection of *Escherichia coli* from natural populations. J. Bacteriol. **157:** 517-524.
- OHTSUBO, H., and E. OHTSUBO, 1978 Nucleotide sequence of an insertion element, IS1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75: 615-619.
- OHTSUBO, E., H. OHTSUBO, W. DOROSZKIEWICZ, K. NYMAN, D. ALLEN and D. DAVIDSON, 1984 An evolutionary analysis of iso-IS1 elements from *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* strains. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. **30**: 359–376.
- PERLER, F., A. EFSTRATIADIS, P. LOMEDICO, W. GILBERT, R. KO-LODNER and J. DODGSON, 1980 The evolution of genes: the chicken preproinsulin gene. Cell 20: 555-566.
- SAEDLER, H., H. J. REIF, S. HU and N. DAVIDSON, 1974 IS2: a genetic element for turn-off and turn-on of gene activity in *E. coli.* Mol. Gen. Genet. **132**: 265–289.
- SAEDLER, H., G. CORNELIS, J. CULLUM, B. SCHUMACHER and H. SOMMER, 1980 IS1 mediated DNA rearrangements. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 45: 93–98.
- SAIKI, R. K., S. SCHARF, F. FALOONA, K. B. MULLIS, G. T., HORN, H. A. ERLICH and N. A. ARNHEIM, 1985 Enzymatic amplification of beta-globin genomic sequences and restriction site analysis for diagnosis of sickle cell anemia. Science 230: 1350– 1354.
- SAIKI, R. K., D. H. GELFAND, S. STOFFEL, S. J. SCHARF, R. HIGUCHI, G. T. HORN, K. B. MULLIS and H. A. ERLICH, 1988 Primerdirected enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. Science 239: 487-491.
- SAWYER, S., D. DYKHUIZEN, R. DUBOSE, L. GREEN, T. MUTANGA-DURA-MHLANGA, D. WOLCZYK and D. HARTL, 1987 Distribution and abundance of insertion sequences among natural isolates of *Escherichia coli*. Genetics 115: 51-63.
- SEKINE, Y., and E. OHTSUBO, 1989 Frameshifting is required for production of the transposase encoded by insertion sequence *I*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86: 4609-4613.
- SELANDER, R. K., and B. R. LEVIN, 1980 Genetic diversity and structure in *Escherichia coli* populations. Science **210**: 545-547.
- SELANDER, R. K., D. A. CAUGANT and T. S. WHITTAM, 1987 Genetic structure and variation in natural populations of Escherichia coli, pp. 1625–1648 in Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium: Cellular and Molecular Biology, edited by F. C. NEIDHARDT. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- SHIMOTOHNO, K., Y. TAKAHASHI, T. GOJOBORI, D. W. GOLDE, I. S. Y. CHEN, M. MIWA and T. SUGIMURA, 1985 Complete nucleotide sequence of an infectious clone of human T-cell leukemia virus type II: an open reading frame for the protease gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82: 3101-3105.
- SPRATT, B. G., 1989 Hybrid penicillin-binding proteins in penicillin-resistant strains of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Nature 332: 173-176.
- STOLTZFUS, A., J. F. LESLIE and R. MILKMAN, 1988 Molecular evolution of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. I. Analysis of structure and natural variation in a previously uncharacterized region between *trp* and *tonB*. Genetics **120**: 345–358.
- TIMMERMAN, K., and C. P. D. TU, 1985 Complete sequence of IS3. Nuc Acids Res 13: 2127-2139.

- WHITTAM, T. S., H. OCHMAN and R. K. SELANDER, 1984 Geographical components of linkage disequilibrium in natural populations of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 1: 67– 83.
- UMEDA, M., and E. OHTSUBO, 1991 Four types of IS1 with differences in nucleotide sequence reside in the *Escherichia coli* K-12 chromosome. Gene **98**: 1–5.
- YOSHINAKA, Y., I. KATOH, T. D. COPELAND, G. W. SMYTHERS and S. OROSZLAN, 1986 Bovine leukemia virus protease: purification, chemical analysis, and in-vitro processing of *gag* precursor polyproteins. J. Virol. **57:** 826–832.

Communicating editor: W.-H. LI